

Plymouth

Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc.

Haoda.a.g.

APR - 8 2004

7000

PIE. 12-28-03

ANIS



Annual

PROCESSED

APR 12 2004

THOMSON

Report 2003

To Our Stockholders:

Fiscal 2003 was a difficult, disappointing, but ultimately hopeful year for Plymouth Rubber Company. As 2003 began, we planned to improve our performance by increasing sales and controlling costs. For the first nine months of the year, however, our efforts were frustrated by a combination of rising costs and continued economic sluggishness. The prices of key raw materials, energy, and insurance, among others, rose dramatically, pressuring our margins. At the same time, domestic automobile production was slow, and U.S. industrial construction continued weak, affecting primary demand for our harness and electrical tapes. Although we believe that we actually gained share in these markets, consumption was definitely lower during this period. Finally, delays in federal highway funding legislation led to the postponement of a significant number of construction projects, resulting in an unexpected decline in year-to-year sales of our Brite-Line highway marking tapes. Through the first three quarters of 2003, these factors produced an overall sales decline of 2%. Finally, though, in the fourth quarter, sales began to grow (up 10% over the previous year), our margins improved, and we were able to achieve at least a modest profit (aided by a gain from Discontinued Operations). For the year as a whole, sales at \$65,873,000 increased 1% from the previous year's \$65,259,000, and resulted in a net loss of \$2,304,000, (\$1.12 per share), compared to a net profit of \$101,000 (\$0.05 per share).

More importantly, current trends give us reason to be optimistic that Plymouth can be returned to profitability in 2004. First of all, at long last, we have begun to see some signs of economic recovery in the United States, leading to the first increases in demand in several years from customers in the telecommunications, electronic assembly, power utility, and other markets for our electrical and industrial tapes.

At Brite-Line, new product approvals have enabled us to secure large new European contracts, and we believe that U.S. sales in 2004 will recover as a result of recent new product developments. We continue to view Brite-Line as an important and

Min Amily

Procident

exciting growth opportunity, and we continue to work to develop new products for this market.

Although our harness tape sales are obviously subject to vehicle production levels in the US and elsewhere, and also to share-of market changes-between the different automobile companies, we are gradually increasing our content per vehicle unit with several American, Asian, and European nameplates for North American and European production. We also expect significantly increased sales of rubber mastic products to this market in 2004. We have already experienced rising sales of our harness tapes to the rapidly growing Asian market, and if, as projected, Chinese consumption of automobiles grows rapidly over the next decade, this will generate new demand for our products. We are actively pursuing opportunities to locally produce and supply our harness tapes within China.

Most encouragingly, our drive to reposition our U.S. electrical tapes began to demonstrate tangible results late in 2003 (and was one of the major factors in our fourth quarter sales increase). We believe that Plymouth manufactures the best electrical tapes in the world, and that we offer superior profit opportunities to our distributors and superior value to their customers. Improved product presentation, increased marketing support, and sharpened focus during 2003 resulted in a number of new placements in key electrical channels, both retail and wholesale, and we intend to continue this momentum aggressively in 2004. We believe that these efforts will translate into continued market share gains for at least the next several years, and, especially as this market recovers with the general economy, we should achieve significant and profitable sales increases here.

The past several years have been difficult and challenging ones for Plymouth, as for many U.S. manufacturers. Everyone at Plymouth has endured some very real hardships and has made extraordinary sacrifices, and we will continue to bear these burdens for some time. Nevertheless, with a little help from the economy, we believe that we have the right strategies in place to restore Plymouth to profitability and growth in 2004.

March 19, 2004

Joseph D. Hamilly

Chairman

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended: November 28, 2003 Commission File Number <u>1-5197</u>

PLYMOUTH RUBBER COMPANY, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Massachusetts (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)						
104 Revere Street, Canton, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)					
Registrant's telephone number including area code:	(781) 828-0220					
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: Title of each class Class A Common Stock, par value \$1	Name of each exchange on Which registered American Stock Exchange					
Class B Common Stock, par value \$1	American Stock Exchange					
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None						
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all report of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or frequired to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing required.	for such shorter period that the registrant was					
Yes X No						
Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrants knowledge, in definite proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.						
The aggregate market value of the registrant's voting stock held b 11, 2004, was approximately \$524,000.	y non-affiliates of the registrant at February					
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's cl period covered by this report. Class A common stock, par value \$0.01						
Class B common stock, par value \$0.01						
Documents incorporated by reference: Portions of the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be dated on or about March 28, 2004 (the "Proxy Statement") are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Report. Other documents incorporated by reference in this report are listed in the Index to Exhibits.						

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries primarily operate through the following two business segments: Plymouth Tapes and Brite-Line Technologies. Management has determined these to be Plymouth Rubber Company's business segments, based upon its process of reviewing and assessing Company performance, and allocating resources. Plymouth Tapes manufactures plastic and rubber products, including automotive, electrical, and industrial tapes. These products are sold either through sales personnel employed by the Company and/or through distributors and/or commissioned sales representatives. Brite-Line Technologies manufactures and supplies rubber and plastic highway marking and safety products, sold through sales personnel employed by the Company.

The Company purchases raw materials from a variety of industry sources. Principal raw materials include resins, plasticizers, synthetic and natural rubber, and textiles. There are a number of alternate suppliers of materials. The primary sources of natural rubber are domestic suppliers with operations in Southeast Asia; in addition, textiles are acquired from both domestic and foreign suppliers. While temporary shortages of raw materials may occur occasionally, these items are currently readily available. However, their continuing availability and price are subject to domestic and world market and political conditions, as well as to the direct or indirect effect of United States government regulations. The impact of any future raw material shortages on the Company as a whole cannot be accurately predicted. Operations and products may at times be adversely affected by legislation, shortages, or international or domestic events; however, at this time, management is not aware of any legislation, shortage, or events which will materially affect the Company's business.

The Company owns a number of patents and/or intellectual property rights on products manufactured. Patents held and licenses granted do not materially affect current operations.

Because products are manufactured for inventory as well as to order for specific customers, both the order backlog and the inventory turnover vary significantly from market to market. In general, on a Company-wide basis, the backlog is equivalent to approximately one month's sales volume. The Company grants various payment terms in accordance with the standards dictated by individual markets; however, extended payment terms generally are not granted with the exception of certain foreign markets where payment terms may consider local customs and practices.

The markets served by the Company are highly competitive. Competition comprises a number of domestic and foreign companies, some of which have larger sales organizations and substantially greater resources than the Company. In general, the Company regards itself as having an average competitive position in the industry, although, based on available market information, it is believed that the Company is a significant factor in, and has captured significant shares of the markets for friction, rubber and vinyl tape products. The estimated number of competitors varies from market to market. The Company relies upon product design, product quality, price and service to maintain its competitive position in the markets served and no single product accounts for a predominant amount of the Company's total sales volume. Since 1988, the Company has been the primary source of PVC (vinyl) harness tapes for the North American wire harness operations of the Delphi Packard Electric Division ("PED") of General Motors and its successor corporation, Delphi Automotive Systems Corporation ("Delphi") which was spun off from General Motors as of May 1999, and has also supplied part of PED's and Delphi's tape requirements for Europe and South America. In 2000, the Company signed a new contract with Delphi to supply PVC and some textile tapes until 2005. Delphi accounted for approximately 32%, 33% and 33% of the Company's net sales in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively. As Delphi constitutes a significant percentage of the Company's sales, loss of the business would have a material adverse effect on the Company. The Company is diversifying its automotive tapes business by adding new customers in the United States and abroad, and by developing new tapes for harnessing, as well as other products for other markets. The following table sets forth information with regard to competition in the worldwide markets from which the Company derives its largest volume of sales:

	Estimated No. of	•
<u>Market</u>	Competitors	Competitor
Electrical Tapes	15	3M
Automotive Tapes	Numerous	None
Industrial Tapes & Films	Numerous	None
Highway Marking Tapes	6	3M

The Company is subject to various federal, state and local environmental protection regulations. To date, compliance with these regulations has not had a significant effect upon the capital expenditures, earnings or competitive position of the continuing operations of the Company. Refer to Item 3. *Legal Proceedings* and Note 11 of the Notes To Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of environmental liabilities associated with past operations.

With the exception of Plymouth Rubber Europa, S.A., the Company has no manufacturing operations in foreign countries; products sold to foreign customers are either exported from the United States or shipped from inventories maintained in foreign countries. Sales and purchases are largely performed in U.S. dollars. Certain sales and purchases are performed in foreign currencies.

The Company employs approximately 390 people.

The Company files its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and current reports on Form 8-K with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The Company reports filed with the SEC are available at the SEC's website at www.sec.gov.

Item 2. Properties

Substantially all of the Company's manufacturing, administrative and principal sales facilities are owned and are located in Canton, Massachusetts. These facilities comprise approximately 500,000 square feet. Plymouth Rubber Europa, S.A., owns an 11,000 square foot facility in Porriño, Spain, and Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. leases a 50,000 square foot facility in Denver, Colorado.

The Company rents space for its sales operations at various locations. These rentals are not material in the aggregate. The Company believes that its facilities are suitable and adequate for its current needs, and that its facilities and technology are competitive with those of its principal foreign and domestic competitors. For further information with respect to security interests in the properties of the Company, see Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, herein.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Environmental

Claims under CERCLA

The Company has been named as a Potentially Responsible Party ("PRP") by the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") in two ongoing claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"). These CERCLA claims involve attempts by the EPA to recover the costs associated with the cleanup of two Superfund Sites in Southington, Connecticut--the Solvent Recovery Service of New England Superfund Site ("SRS Site") and the Old Southington Landfill Superfund Site ("OSL Site"). SRS was an independent and licensed solvent recycler/disposal company. The EPA asserts that SRS, after receiving and processing various hazardous substances from PRPs, shipped some resultant sludges and wastewater from the SRS Site to the OSL Site.

The Company received a PRP notification regarding the SRS Site in June, 1992. The EPA originally attributed a 1.74% share of the aggregate waste volume at the SRS Site to the Company. Remedial action is ongoing at the Site, and the Company is a participant in the performing PRP group. Largely because of "orphaned" and non-participating parties' shares, the Company most recently has been contributing approximately 2.24% toward the performing PRP group's ongoing expenses. Approximately \$15 million in response costs have been spent or committed at this Site. Based upon

the extensive investigations and remedial actions conducted at the Site to date, it is presently estimated that the total future costs at the SRS Site may range from approximately \$18 million to \$50 million. In the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of November 28, 2003, management has accrued \$392,000 as a reserve against the Company's potential future liability in this matter, which is net of approximately \$334,000 in payments made to date by the Company.

The Company received a PRP notification regarding the OSL Site in January, 1994. In addition to numerous "SRS transshipper" PRPs (such as the Company), the EPA has named a number of other PRPs who allegedly shipped waste materials directly to the OSL Site. Based on EPA's asserted volume of shipments to SRS, EPA originally attributed 4.89% of the SRS transshipper PRPs' waste volume at the OSL Site to the Company, which is a fraction of the undetermined total waste volume at the Site. The remediation program at the OSL Site has been divided into two phases, called Operable Units ("OU"). OU#1 primarily involves capping of the site and OU#2 is groundwater remediation, if any. A Record of Decision ("ROD") was issued in September, 1994 for OU#1 and, in December, 1997, following mediation, the Company contributed \$140,000 in full settlement of OU#1 (toward a total contribution by the SRS transshipper PRPs of approximately \$2.5 million). The SRS transshipper PRPs' payment of \$2.5 million represented approximately 8% of the OU#1 total settlement. At present, neither the remedy for OU#2 nor the allocation of the costs thereof among the PRPs has been determined. Whatever remedy ultimately is selected, the SRS transshippers' allocable share of the OU#2 expenses likely will be greater than the 8% paid for OU#1. It has been estimated that the total costs of OU#2 may range from \$10 million to \$50 million. Management has accrued \$334,000 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as a reserve against the Company's potential future liability in this matter, which is net of approximately \$171,000 in payments made to date by the Company.

Based on all available information as well as its prior experience, management believes that its accruals in these two matters are reasonable. However, in each case the reserved amount is subject to adjustment for future developments that may arise from one or more of the following -- the long range nature of the case, legislative changes, insurance coverage, the joint and several liability provisions of CERCLA, the uncertainties associated with the ultimate groundwater remedy selected, and the Company's ability to successfully negotiate an outcome similar to its previous experience in these matters.

Claims under Massachusetts General Laws, Chapter 21E

While in the process of eliminating the use of underground storage tanks at the Company's facility in Canton, Massachusetts, the Company arranged for the testing of the areas adjacent to the tanks in question--a set of five tanks in 1994 and a set of three tanks in 1997. The tests indicated that some localized contamination had occurred. The Company duly reported these findings regarding each location to the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection ("DEP"), and the DEP has issued Notices of Responsibility under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 21E to the Company for each location (RTN No. 3-11520 for the set of five tanks and RTN Nos. 3-15347 and 3-19744 for the set of three tanks). The Company has retained an independent Licensed Site Professional ("LSP") to perform assessment and remediation work at the two locations. With regard to the first matter (involving the set of five tanks), the LSP has determined that the contamination appears to be confined to a small area of soil and does not pose an environmental risk to surrounding property or community. With regard to the second matter (involving the set of three tanks), a limited amount of solvent has been found in the soil and groundwater in the vicinity of the tanks. Costs incurred to date in connection with these two locations have totaled approximately \$683,000. These costs have been funded through operating cash flows. It presently is estimated that the combined future costs to complete the assessment and remediation actions at the two locations will total approximately \$224,000, and that amount has been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

In January 1997, the Company received a Chapter 21E Notice of Responsibility from the DEP concerning two sites located in Dartmouth, Massachusetts (RTN No. 4-0234) and Freetown, Massachusetts (RTN No. 4-0086), respectively. According to the DEP, drums containing oil and/or hazardous materials were discovered at the two sites in 1979, which led to some cleanup actions by the DEP. The DEP contends that an independent disposal firm allegedly hired by the Company and other PRPs, H & M Drum Company, was responsible for disposing of drums at the two sites. To date, the DEP has issued Notices of Responsibility to approximately 100 PRPs. A group of PRPs, including the Company, has retained an LSP to conduct subsurface investigations at both sites. The LSP has completed Limited Subsurface Investigations at both sites. At the Freetown site, no reportable contamination was found either in soil or groundwater, and the LSP has recommended that the DEP close the site out. At the Dartmouth site, no reportable contamination was

found in soil, while reportable, but lower than historical levels of contaminants were found in groundwater. The LSP's investigation at the Dartmouth site further indicates that there may be an upgradient off-site source of contaminants (which the Company would not be responsible for) that is impacting the site, and recommends further investigation into that possibility. While the results of the Limited Subsurface Investigations at these sites are relatively encouraging, until additional data is gathered, it is not possible to reasonably estimate the costs of any further investigation or cleanup that may be required at one or both sites, or the Company's potential share of liability or responsibility therefor. Accordingly, no reserve has been recorded in the accompanying financial statements with respect to these two sites.

In April 2000, the Company received a Chapter 21E Notice of Responsibility from the DEP concerning an oil release in the portion of the East Branch of the Neponset River that flows through the Company's property in Canton, Massachusetts (RTN No. 3-19407). The Company had duly reported the presence of oil in the river to the appropriate government agencies. The Company commenced cleanup and investigatory actions as soon as it became aware of the presence of the oil, and immediately retained both an LSP to oversee response actions in this matter and also an environmental services firm to perform cleanup and containment services. At the present time, neither the source nor the cause of the release has been positively determined. Costs incurred to date have totaled approximately \$278,000. It presently is estimated that the future costs in this matter will total approximately \$60,000, which has been accrued in the accompanying financial statements.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No matter was submitted during the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this report to a vote of security holders through the solicitation of proxies or otherwise.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE COMPANY

Name	Position/Officer	Age (at last Birthday)	Served Since
Maurice J. Hamilburg	President and Co - Chief Executive		
	Officer and Director	57	1987
Joseph D. Hamilburg	Chairman and Co - Chief Executive		
	Officer and Director	55	1998
Fiore D. DiGiovine	VP - Mfg. Development	76	1987
Alan I. Eisenberg	VP - Sales & Marketing	53	1988 & 1986
Sheldon S. Leppo	VP - Research & Development	69	1970
Joseph J. Berns	VP - Finance and Treasurer	57	1997 & 1998
Kevin H. White	VP - Brite-Line Technologies	53	2002
David M. Kozol	Clerk and Secretary	45	1998

Messrs. Maurice J. Hamilburg, Joseph D. Hamilburg, Fiore D. DiGiovine, Alan I. Eisenberg, Sheldon S. Leppo, Joseph J. Berns and David M. Kozol have held their present positions during each of the past five years.

Mr. Kevin H. White joined the Company in October 1996. Form 1996 to 2001 he served as Director, Sales and Marketing Brite-Line Technologies and was appointed VP-Brite-Line Technologies in 2002.

PART II

Item 5. Market for the Registrant's Common Stock and Related Security Holder Matters

(a) Price Range of Common Stock

The Company's Class A and Class B common stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX") under the symbols PLRA and PLRB. The following table sets forth the reported high and low prices for Plymouth Rubber Company Class A and Class B common stock, which shares are listed and traded on the American Stock Exchange.

	Clas	ss A	Class B			Clas	ss A	Clas	ss B
	High	Low	High	Low		High	Low	High	Low
Quarter - 2003					Quarter - 2002				
First	\$ 1.65	\$ 1.35	\$ 1.10	\$ 0.85	First	\$ 1.60	\$ 1.20	\$ 1.20	\$ 0.75
Second	1.40	0.85	1.14	0.60	Second	1.65	1.30	1.30	0.80
Third	1.40	1.10	0.65	0.40	Third	2.20	1.30	2.15	1.00
Fourth	1.50	1.35	0.70	0.21	Fourth	1.48	1.15	1.34	0.90

(b) Approximate Number of Equity Security Holders

As of February 24, 2004, the approximate number of holders of each class of equity securities of the Company was:

Title of Class	Number of Holders
Class A voting common stock \$1.00 par value	215
Class B non-voting common stock \$1.00 par value	210

The number of holders listed above does not include shareholders for whom shares are held in a "nominee" or "street" name.

(c) Dividends

The Company has not paid cash dividends on its common stock since fiscal year 1970. Under the Company's loan agreements, the Company is prohibited from paying any cash dividends with respect to its capital stock without a waiver from its lender, so long as any obligation under the loan agreements remains outstanding. In addition, a payment of dividends will depend, among other factors, on earnings, capital requirements and the working capital needs of the Company. At the present time, the Company intends to follow a policy of retaining earnings in order to finance the development of its business.

(d) Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table sets forth a summary of the Company's equity compensation plans as of November 28, 2003. Details of the plans are discussed in Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options	Weighed average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance
Stock option plans approved by Shareholders	515,665	\$1.99	270,942
shareholder approval			
	515,665	\$1.99	270,942

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

	Fiscal Years							
	2003		2002		2001		2000	1999
SELECTED INCOME STATEMENT DATA:			-					
Net Sales	\$ 65,873,000	\$	65,259,000	\$	66,498,000	\$	74,392,000	\$ 78,038,000
Income (loss) from continuing								
operations	\$ (2,815,000)	\$	101,000	\$	(2,899,000)	\$	(3,989,000)	\$ 3,122,000
Per Share Data:								
Net income (loss) from continuing								
operations per share (diluted)	\$ (1.37)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.42)	\$	(1.95)	\$ 1.42
Weighted average shares outstanding	2,058,976		2,105,857		2,042,411		2,041,481	2,198,480
SELECTED BALANCE SHEET DATA (AS OF Y	EAR END):							
Total Assets	\$ 45,315,000	\$	46,246,000	\$	48,658,000	\$	51,461,000	\$ 55,035,000
Long Term Liabilities	\$ 15,268,000	\$	16,817,000	\$	6,815,000	\$	6,318,000	\$ 16,000,000

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Fiscal Year 2003 Compared with Fiscal Year 2002

Company Overview

There are certain key factors, which management believes have the largest impact on the overall profit and loss of Plymouth Rubber Company:

- (1) the level of sales has a large impact on profits. Since most of the Company's costs (except for raw materials) are largely fixed in the short/medium term, an increase or decrease in sales affects profit significantly.
- (2) the variable margin on sales, which is determined by selling prices and the cost of manufacturing and raw materials, has a large effect on profit. This margin is, in turn, affected by a number of factors, which include purchase prices of raw material, especially PVC resin, competition, both domestic and international, competitive pricing, direct labor costs, internal production methods and efficiencies, among others.
- (3) given the magnitude of the Company's fixed cost structure, increases or decreases have a large impact on profits. There are a number of large fixed or semi-fixed cost components, which include salaries, indirect labor, and benefits, utilities, equipment maintenance and repair, and insurance. Thus changes in rates or usage of these cost components can have a large effect on profit.

Overall Summary of 2003 Financial Results

For fiscal 2003, sales increased only slightly from 2002, with an increase at Plymouth Tapes and a decrease at Brite-Line, although this is an improvement compared to sales decreases in the previous three years. Management believes that increased sales levels are a critical component of improved profitability.

Costs in 2003, both variable and fixed, increased significantly in 2003 compared to 2002, resulting in a loss in 2003 compared to 2002, which was approximately a break even year. The largest unfavorable cost factors were increased PVC resin prices, health and business insurance cost increases, and utility rate increases, and there were a number of other smaller items as well. There was some favorable offset resulting from lower direct, indirect, and salaried labor costs, beginning in August 2003, as management imposed salary reductions for all domestic employees, and other factors. There was also some offsetting income from discontinued operations.

Sales and Margins

Sales increased 0.9% to \$65,873,000 in 2003 from \$65,259,000 in 2002, benefiting from a 10.2% increase in sales during the fourth quarter of 2003, compared to the fourth quarter of 2002. Sales at Plymouth Tapes increased 2.6% to \$57,712,000 in 2003 from \$56,237,000 in 2002. Sales in the automotive markets decreased approximately 1.6% compared to 2002, despite higher demand in the fourth quarter, while sales in all other markets also increased approximately 4.2% from 2002, due largely to increases in the retail and contractor industrial markets. This latter increase results in part from the marketing and sales investments made during the last two years. Sales at Brite-Line Technologies decreased 9.5% to \$8,161,000 in 2003, from \$9,022,000 in 2002, due in large part to delays in highway construction spending.

Gross margin decreased \$2,609,000, or 19.3%, to \$10,921,000 in 2003 from \$13,530,000 in 2002. Gross margin, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 16.6% in 2003 from 20.7% in 2002. Plymouth Tapes' gross margin decreased \$1,943,000, or 18.1%, to \$8,785,000 in 2003 from \$10,728,000 in 2002. Gross margin, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 15.2% in 2003 from 19.1% in 2002. The two major factors driving this decrease were: higher raw material costs for 2003, primarily for PVC resins, which accounted for approximately \$1,000,000 of unfavorable margin, or 1.7% of sales; and increased rates for utilities and fuel, which accounted for approximately \$700,000 of unfavorable margin, or 1.2% of sales. Other contributing factors to the reduction in gross margin were increases in health and business insurance, and lower production yields. At Brite-Line Technologies, gross margin decreased \$666,000, or 23.8%, to

\$2,136,000 in 2003 from \$2,802,000 in 2002 and, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 26.2% in 2003 from 31.1% in 2002. The two major factors driving this decrease were lower production levels, which translated into higher unabsorbed manufacturing cost, and higher purchase prices for raw materials.

Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses in 2003 increased \$147,000, 1.2%, to \$11,917,000 from \$11,770,000 in 2002. Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, increased slightly to 18.1% in 2003 from 18.0% in 2002. At Plymouth Tapes, selling, general and administrative expenses in 2003 increased \$149,000, or 1.5%, to \$10,230,000 from \$10,081,000 in 2002. Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased slightly to 17.7% in 2003 from 17.9% in 2002. The major increases were higher freight costs of \$333,000, a \$221,000 increase in advertising to support new sales strategies in the retail and contractor industrial markets, and a \$145,000 increase in salaries and fringe benefits, partially offset by a \$186,000 absence of a freight loss which occurred in 2002, a \$173,000 absence of a 2002 loss in cash surrender value of officer life insurance, and a \$141,000 reduction in deferred compensation expense. At Brite-Line Technologies, selling, general and administrative expenses in 2003 were approximately level at \$1,687,000 compared to \$1,689,000 in 2002, and, as a percentage of sales, increased to 20.7% from 18.7% in 2002, because of the lower sales. Decreases in salaries and fringe (\$47,000) and professional fees (\$53,000) were mostly offset by an increase in bad debt expense \$74,000 and travel expenses \$33,000.

Interest expense in 2003 decreased 11.8% to \$1,787,000 from \$2,026,000 in 2002, because of lower interest rates and lower average balances on the revolving line of credit, lower average balances on term debt, and lower fees. Other income was \$29,000 in 2003, primarily due to foreign exchange gains, compared to \$242,000 in 2002, which included \$64,000 of foreign exchange gains, a \$131,000 gain on the sale of equipment, and \$43,000 of miscellaneous income.

Resulting Profit/Loss

The pre-tax loss from continuing operations for 2003 was \$2,754,000, compared to a pre-tax loss of \$24,000 in 2002. The after-tax loss from continuing operations for 2003 was \$2,815,000, compared to an after-tax profit of \$101,000 in 2002. In accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" (FAS109), a full valuation allowance was recorded for any tax benefits generated from the Company's domestic operations in 2003, as they could not be carried back to recover taxes paid and may not be offset by the reversal of future taxable differences. The Company's liquidity situation at November 28, 2003 also provides significant negative evidence regarding its ability to generate sufficient taxable income in the future to realize any deferred tax benefit. A \$61,000 tax expense was recorded in 2003 for the Company's Spanish subsidiary. In 2002, a \$187,000 tax benefit was recorded for the Company's domestic operations, to recognize a tax refund resulting from a change in a U.S. tax law, and a \$62,000 expense was recorded for the Company's Spanish subsidiary.

Income from discontinued operations, net of tax of 0, was \$511,000 in 2003, compared to zero in 2002, due to a reduction in product warranty reserves for a roofing business that was discontinued in 1984. Management believes that this reserve, which was last charged with a claim in fiscal 1998, is no longer required, due to the expirations of warranties and a lack of claim activity. The net loss for 2003 was \$2,304,000 compared to the \$101,000 net profit in 2002.

The U.S. dollar is the functional currency for the Company's U.S. operations. For these operations, all gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are included in income currently. The Company operates a wholly owned subsidiary in Spain, which accounted for 13.8% of the Company's revenues in fiscal 2003. The functional currency of this subsidiary was the Peseta and is the Euro in 2003. Changes in the Euro/Dollar exchange rate could affect the reporting of the subsidiary's earnings in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company occasionally enters into purchase or sales contracts in currencies other than the functional currency, and hedges only those transactions that are of significant size. The Company did not enter into any foreign currency forward contracts in 2003 and 2002 and did not have any forward contracts outstanding at the end of 2003 and 2002.

Fiscal Year 2002 Compared with Fiscal Year 2001

Sales decreased 1.9% to \$65,259,000 in 2002 from \$66,498,000 in 2001. Sales at Plymouth Tapes decreased 2.3% to \$56,237,000 from \$57,553,000 in 2001. The largest decrease was in the contractor industrial and OEM markets, where sales decreased 12.0% from 2001, largely because of the 2002 economic slowdown. Sales in the automotive market

decreased 1.5%, which reflected relatively stable demand from Plymouth's automotive customers. Sales at Brite-Line Technologies increased 0.9% to \$9,022,000, from \$8,945,000 in 2001.

Gross margin increased to 20.7% in 2002 from 17.6% in 2001. Plymouth Tapes' gross margin increased to 19.1% in 2002 from 15.7% in 2001. The major factors which drove this increase were (1) lower manufacturing spending, which was approximately \$700,000 favorable in 2002 compared to 2001, and (2) lower raw material costs for 2002, primarily for PVC resins, which accounted for approximately \$600,000 of favorable margin. At Brite-Line Technologies, gross margin increased to 31.1% in 2002 from 30.1% in 2001, as a result of improved manufacturing overhead absorption and improved manufacturing yields.

Selling, general and administrative expenses were incurred and recorded in both Plymouth Tapes and Brite-Line Technologies. Certain of the selling, general and administrative expenses recorded in Plymouth Tapes could have been considered as incurred for the benefit of Brite-Line, but were not allocated to that segment. These expenses included certain management, accounting, personnel and sales services, and a limited amount of travel, insurance, directors' fees and other expenses.

Selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 18.0% in 2002 from 18.6% in 2001. At Plymouth Tapes, selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 17.9% in 2002 from 18.4% in 2001, although total selling, general and administrative expenses decreased 4.8% to \$10,081,000 from \$10,589,000 in 2001. The major contributors to the lower amount were a \$653,000 decrease in salaries and fringe benefits due to headcount and salary reductions, a \$118,000 reduction in freight, and a \$85,000 decrease in depreciation and amortization. These reductions were partially offset by a \$141,000 recognition of deferred compensation expenses, a \$173,000 loss on the cash surrender value of officer life insurance, and an \$186,000 increased freight loss as described below.

In February, 2002, KM Logistics, a third party freight audit and payment service provider, filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection, and subsequently Chapter 7 bankruptcy, while holding approximately \$286,000 of Company funds intended to reimburse the Company's freight carriers for normal services. Approximately \$100,000 of those payments had been made to KM Logistics prior to fiscal 2001 year-end; this charge was included as a selling, general and administrative expense at Plymouth Tapes in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2001. The remaining \$186,000 was recorded in fiscal 2002.

At Brite-Line, selling, general and administrative expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 18.7% in 2002 from 20.0% in 2001. The largest factors were reductions in freight (\$76,000), applicator equipment (\$45,000), travel (\$30,000), and advertising (\$27,000).

Interest expense in 2002 decreased 11.8% to \$2,026,000 from \$2,298,000 in 2001, because of lower interest rates and loan balances on the Company's borrowings under the revolving working capital facility. Foreign currency exchange gain was \$64,000 in 2002 compared to a foreign currency exchange loss of \$25,000 in 2001. Other income was \$178,000 in 2002, due to a \$131,000 gain on the sale of equipment and \$44,000 of miscellaneous income, compared to \$99,000 in 2001, which included non-recurring rental income of \$35,000, a \$25,000 gain on the sale of securities, and other net income items of \$39,000.

The pre-tax loss from continuing operations for 2002 was \$24,000, compared to a pre-tax loss from continuing operations of \$2,910,000 in 2001. The net profit was \$101,000 in 2002, compared to a net loss of \$2,899,000 in 2001. In 2002, a \$187,000 tax benefit was recorded for the Company's domestic operations, to recognize a tax refund resulting from a change in a U.S. tax law, and a \$62,000 tax expense was recorded for the Company's Spanish subsidiary.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Debt Arrangements

Prior to December, 2002, the Company's term debt agreements had contained various covenants specifying certain financial requirements, including minimum tangible net worth, fixed charge and EBITDA coverage ratios, working capital and maximum ratio of total liabilities to net worth. In addition, the revolving working capital credit facility and the real estate term loan contain an acceleration provision, which can be triggered if the lender determines that an event

of default has occurred.

As of each quarter end from September 1, 2000 through August 30, 2002, the Company had been in violation of certain covenants of its term debt facility and therefore, due to a cross default provision, the Company had not been in compliance with a covenant under its revolving working capital credit facility and real estate term loan. As a result, all of the Company's term loans (except for that of its Spanish subsidiary) had been classified as current liabilities on the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheet at the end of each fiscal quarter end. In addition, during July 2002, the Company received a demand from its primary term debt lender for the payment of their outstanding loan balances in the amount of \$8,658,000, which represented the total of all future payments and accumulated late fees, and a demand letter from a smaller equipment lender for approximately \$69,000 of payments due.

During 2002, the Company negotiated with these lenders and, in November 2002, reached formal agreement to obtain relief from their demands and to restructure existing term debt facilities. Under the new arrangements, the term debt lenders accepted significantly reduced principal payments over the next three years, eliminated financial covenants, waived existing defaults and rescinded demands for accelerated payment, in return for enhanced collateral positions.

Cash Pension Contributions for Defined Benefit Plan

The Company received pension funding waivers from the Internal Revenue Service for \$855,000 in 2002 and \$1,030,000 (of the \$1,262,000 due) in 2003. These were waivers for plan years ending November 30, 2001 and November 30, 2002, and were conditioned on the Company satisfying the minimum funding requirements for the plan years ending November 30, 2003 and November 30, 2004, and on the waiver amount of \$1,030,000 being secured, in a manner acceptable to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporations (the "PBGC"), by September 10, 2004. The minimum funding payment due in August 2004 is \$1,533,000.

Overall Cash Position

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company's limited liquidity under existing debt arrangements, its working capital deficit, the recent history of losses, the pension payment due August 2004, and the overall risks associated with achieving the 2004 plan may indicate that the Company will be unable to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As of November 28, 2003, the Company had no unused borrowing capacity under its revolving line of credit with its primary working capital lender, after consideration of collateral limitations.

The Company's working capital position decreased from a negative \$2,339,000 at November 29, 2002 to a negative \$4,350,000 at November 28, 2003, due to a \$2,119,000 increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, a \$717,000 increase in short term debt, a \$232,000 increase in the current portion of long term borrowings, a \$60,000 decrease in prepaid and other current assets, and a \$27,000 decrease in cash, partially offset by a \$734,000 increase in inventory and a \$410,000 decrease in accounts receivable.

For fiscal 2004, management's plan is to increase sales and control expenses to improve profitability and provide additional cash from operating activities. The plan also calls for working with a variety of potential lending sources to acquire additional financing, as well as discussions with existing lenders and others to restructure various obligations.

It is management's belief that cash flows generated from operations, and/or additional financing, and/or a restructuring of existing debt and pension obligations will be sufficient to meet the Company's liquidity needs during fiscal 2004. Management also implemented a number of expense reductions during the fourth quarter of 2003, including wage and salary reductions and the deferral of certain personnel replacements or additions. Although management expects to be able to accomplish its business and financing plans, there is no assurance that it will be able to do so. The Company's plans depend upon many factors. Failure to accomplish these plans could have an adverse impact on the Company's liquidity, financial position, and ability to continue operating as a going concern.

Cash provided by operating activities was \$1,385,000 in 2003, compared to \$1,291,000 in 2002. The major factors

contributing to cash provided by operating activities were depreciation and amortization of \$2,911,000, an increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$2,149,000, a decrease in prepaid expenses of \$64,000, and a \$17,000 increase in environmental reserves, partially offset by a net loss of \$2,304,000, a \$511,000 decrease in warranty reserves related to discontinued operations, an increase in inventory of \$422,000, a \$337,000 decrease in pension obligation, a \$113,000 increase in other assets, a decrease in other liabilities of \$64,000, and a \$5,000 decrease in accounts receivable. This cash provided by operating activities and additional short-term borrowings of \$411,000 were used pay off term debt and capital leases of \$1,535,000, and for capital expenditures of \$308,000.

American Stock Exchange Compliance

On May 23, 2003, the Company received notification from the American Stock Exchange (the "AMEX") that, as of the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company was not in compliance with AMEX listing standards, due to shareholders' equity being less than \$2,000,000 and losses from continuing operations and/or net losses in two of its three most recent fiscal years. In order to maintain the listing of its common stock on AMEX, Plymouth was required to submit a plan by June 23, 2003, subject to acceptance by AMEX, describing actions to be taken to bring it into compliance with listing requirements within 18 months. On June 23, 2003 the Company submitted to the American Stock Exchange a plan describing the program by which the Company will be brought back into compliance with AMEX's listing standards by the end of November 2004. On August 25, 2003, AMEX accepted this plan of compliance and granted an extension of time through November 2004 to regain compliance, subject to periodic review by AMEX during the extension period. Failure to make progress consistent with these listing standards could result in the Company's shares being delisted from AMEX.

On December 31, 2003, the Company received notification from the AMEX that the Company's Class A Common Stock and Class B Common Stock are each subject to delisting for having aggregate publicly held market values of less than \$1,000,000 for more than 90 consecutive days. In order for the Company to maintain the listings of its Common Stock, it was to submit a plan, subject to acceptance by AMEX, by January 26, 2004 advising the AMEX of actions to bring it into compliance with continued listing standards by March 10, 2004. The Company has been considering the feasibility of such a plan of compliance and has asked AMEX for an extension of time to submit a compliance plan or pursue alternatives to continued AMEX listing.

A summary of the Company's cash requirements related to its outstanding long term debt, future minimum lease payments, and estimated pension funding requirements are as follows:

					Estimated		
			Operating		Pension		
		Long Term	Lease		Funding		
Fiscal Year:		Debt	Commitments	j	Requirements		Total
2004	\$ _	1,768,000	\$ 577,000	\$	1,580,000	\$ _	3,925,000
2005		5,874,000	463,000		1,500,000		7,837,000
2006		685,000	262,000		1,500,000		2,447,000
2007		710,000			1,820,000		2,530,000
2008		308,000		,	1,130,000		1,438,000
Thereafter	_			_		_	
Total	\$	9,345,000	\$ 1,302,000	\$	7,530,000	\$	18,177,000

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's significant accounting policies are discussed in Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Certain accounting policies are important to the portrayal of the Company's financial condition and results of operations, and require management's subjective judgments. These policies relate to the deferred tax asset valuation allowance, inventory reserves, provision for doubtful accounts receivable and impairment of long lived assets.

Recognition of a deferred tax asset is dependent on generating sufficient future taxable income prior to the expiration of the tax loss or credit carryforward. The Company has taken a full valuation allowance for this tax benefit in accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109 "Accounting for Income Taxes". Should the Company's liquidity situation improve, the amount of the deferred tax asset considered realizable could be increased and

could result in a credit to income tax expense in the period such determination was made.

The Company writes down its inventory for estimated obsolescence or unmarketable inventory based upon the difference between the cost of the inventory and the estimated net realizable value, based upon assumptions about future demand and market pricing. If actual market conditions are less favorable than those projected by management, additional inventory write-downs may be required.

The Company periodically reviews the aging of accounts receivable to identify potentially uncollectible accounts and establishes a reserve based on experience and discussion with customers. Actual write-offs could differ from bad debt reserves.

The Company reviews long-lived assets annually or whenever events of circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the asset may not be recoverable in accordance with SFAS No. 121. Impaired assets are written down to their estimated fair value based on the best information available to the Company.

Environmental

The Company has been named as a Potentially Responsible Party by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in two ongoing claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"). The Company has also received Notices of Responsibility under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 21E on two sites in Massachusetts. The Company has accrued \$725,000 as of November 28, 2003 to cover future environmental expenditures related to these claims, which is net of \$505,000 payments made to date. The accrual represents the Company's estimate of the remaining remediation costs based upon the best information currently available. Actual future costs may be different from the amount accrued for as of November 28, 2003 and may be affected by various factors, including future testing, the remediation alternatives taken at the sites, and actual cleanup costs. The final remediation costs could also be subject to adjustment because of the long term nature of the cases, legislative changes, insurance coverage, joint and several liability provisions of CERCLA, and the Company's ability to successfully negotiate an outcome similar to its previous experience in these matters.

The Company has also received Notices of Responsibility under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 21E on three sites at the Company's facilities in Canton, Massachusetts. In all of these cases, the Company has taken a variety of actions towards the ultimate cleanup, depending upon the status of each of the sites. These activities include the retention of an independent Licensed Site Professional, investigation, assessment, containment, and remediation. The Company has accrued \$277,000 as of November 28, 2003 to cover estimated future environmental cleanup expenditures, which is net of \$961,000 payments made to date. Actual future costs may be different from the amount accrued for as of November 28, 2003.

Impact of New Accounting Pronouncements

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45 ("FIN 45"), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34). FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of the FASB Statement No. 5 (FAS 5), Accounting for Contingencies, relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. FIN 45 requires that upon issuance of a guarantee, the guarantor must recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation it assumes under that guarantee. Many guarantees are embedded in purchase or sales agreements, service contracts, joint venture agreements, or other commercial agreements and the guarantor in many of those arrangements does not receive separately identifiable up-front payment (e.g., a premium) for issuing the guarantee. Prior to FIN 45, many guarantors did not recognize an initial liability for such embedded guarantees. Now, however, they are required to recognize a liability at fair value upon issuance of the guarantees, regardless of whether they receive a separate premium for doing so. The Interpretation is intended to improve the comparability of financial reporting by requiring identical accounting for guarantees issued with a separately identified premium and guarantees issued without a separately identified premium. For guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, significant new disclosure requirements are effective beginning with 2002 calendar year-end financial statements, including a requirement to disclose the maximum amount of future payments that an entity might need to make under a guarantee and a reconciliation of during the period in their product warranty liabilities. At November 28, 2003, the Company had no guarantees falling in the scope of FIN 45. The Company will apply the

provisions required by FIN 45 in future periods if any guarantees are formed.

On December 31, 2002, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation -- Transition and Disclosure -- an amendment of FAS 123 (FAS 148). As the title of the standard implies, it is fairly limited in its scope, however it will have implications for all entities that issue stock-based compensation to their employees. This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. This Statement permits two additional transition methods for entities that adopt the preferable method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Both of those methods avoid the ramp-up effect arising from prospective application of the fair value based method. In addition, to address concerns raised by some constituents about the lack of comparability caused by multiple transition methods, this Statement does not permit the use of the original Statement 123 prospective method of transition for changes to the fair value based method made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2003. During the first quarter of fiscal 2003 the Company adopted the additional disclosure provisions of FAS 148.

In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51." The primary objective of the Interpretation is to provide guidance on the identification of, and financial reporting for, entities over which control is achieved through means other than voting rights. Such entities are known as variable-interest entities (VIEs). Although the FASB's initial focus was on special-purpose entities (SPEs), the final guidance applies to a wide range of entities. FIN 46 applies to new entities that are created after the effective date, as well as applies to existing entities. The FIN is effective to preexisting entities as of the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, and to any new entities beginning February 1, 2003. Once it goes into effect, FIN 46 will be the guidance that determines (1) whether consolidation is required under the "controlling financial interest" model of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (ARB51), Consolidated Financial Statements, or other existing authoritative guidance, or, alternatively, (2) whether the variable-interest model under FIN 46 should be used to account for existing and new entities. The Company will apply the consolidation requirement of FIN 46 in future periods if it should own any interest in any variable interest entity.

In April 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (FAS 149). This Statement amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and for hedging activities under FAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. FAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. At November 28, 2003, the Company had no financial instruments falling within the scope of FAS No. 149.

In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" (FAS 150). FAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). Many of those instruments were previously classified as equity. This Statement is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of FAS 150 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 132 (revised 2003), Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits. The provisions of that Statement do not change the measurement and recognition provisions of FASB Statements No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions, No. 88, Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, and No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. Statement 132(R) replaces FASB Statement No. 132, Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, and adds among other things additional disclosure pertaining to plan assets, benefit obligations, key assumptions and measurement dates. The effective dates of the pronouncement for domestic plans are fiscal years ending after December 15, 2003.

Safe Harbor Statement

Certain statements in this report, in the Company's press releases and in oral statements made by or with the approval of an authorized executive officer of the Company may constitute "forward-looking statements" as that term is defined under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These may include statements projecting, forecasting or estimating Company performance and industry trends. The achievement of the projections, forecasts or estimates is subject to certain risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those projected, forecast or estimated. The applicable risks and uncertainties include general economic and industry conditions that affect all international businesses, as well as matters that are specific to the Company and the markets it serves. General risks that may impact the achievement of such forecast include: compliance with new laws and regulations, significant raw material price fluctuations, changes in interest rates, currency exchange rate fluctuations, limits on the repatriation of funds and political uncertainty. Specific risks to the Company include: risk of recession in the economies and /or markets in which its products are sold, risk of the Company's working capital lender and real estate lender demanding payment of outstanding balances, risk of not receiving waiver from the government on the required contribution into the pension plan, the concentration of a substantial percentage of the Company's sales with a few major automotive customers, cost of raw materials, and pricing pressures from competitors and customers.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

At November 28, 2003, the carrying value of Company's debt totaled \$23.4 million which approximated its fair value. This debt includes amounts at both fixed and variable interest rates. For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value but do not impact earnings or cash flows. Conversely, for floating rate debt, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value but do impact earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant.

At November 28, 2003, the Company had fixed rate debt of \$8.7 million and variable rate debt of \$14.7 million. Holding other variables constant (such as foreign exchange rates and debt levels) a one percentage point decrease in interest rates would increase the unrealized fair market value of fixed rate debt by approximately \$147,000. The earnings and cash flows impact for the next year resulting from a one percentage point increase in interest rates would be approximately \$147,000, holding other variables constant.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

PLYMOUTH RUBBER COMPANY, INC.

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULE

	PAGE
Report of Independent Auditors	16
Consolidated Balance Sheet at November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002	17 - 18
Consolidated Statement of Operations and Retained Earnings (Deficit) for each of the three years in the period ended November 28, 2003	19
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for each of the three years in the period ended November 28, 2003	20
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 28, 2003	21
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	22 - 42
Reserves (Schedule II)	46

The financial statement schedule should be read in conjunction with the financial statements. Schedules not included with this financial data have been omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the financial statements or notes thereto.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries at November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended November 28, 2003 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. These financial statements and financial statements schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management; our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits. We conducted our audits of these statements in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has suffered recurring losses from operations and a net working capital deficiency that raise substantial doubt about its ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to these matters are also described in Note 2. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP Boston, Massachusetts February 13, 2004

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

ASSETS

	November 2	28, November 29, 2002
Cash	\$ 10,0	900 \$ 37,000
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$447,000 and \$397,000 at November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002, respectively	11,776,0	11,366,000
Inventories:		
Raw materials	3,952,0	3,481,000
Work in process	2,472,0	1,799,000
Finished goods	5,951,0	6,361,000
Total inventories	12,375,0	11,641,000
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	924,0	984,000
Total current assets	25,085,0	24,028,000
PLANT ASSETS:		
Land	637,0	551,000
Buildings	6,494,0	6,371,000
Machinery and equipment	41,559,0	40,697,000
Construction in progress	4,0	8,000
	48,694,0	47,627,000
Less: Accumulated depreciation	(29,360,0	00) (26,199,000)
Total plant assets, net	19,334,0	21,428,000
Other long-term assets	896,0	790,000
	\$ 45,315,0	900 \$ 46,246,000

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET -- (Continued)

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

	November 2 2003	28,	November 29, 2002
CURRENT LIABILITIES:			
Revolving line of credit	\$ 14,031,0	00	\$ 13,314,000
Trade accounts payable	9,098,0	00	7,035,000
Accrued expenses	4,538,0	00	4,482,000
Current portion of long-term borrowings	1,768,0	00	1,536,000
Total current liabilities	29,435,0	00	26,367,000
LONG-TERM LIABILITIES:			
Borrowings	7,577,0	00	8,972,000
Pension obligation	5,486,0	00	5,115,000
Deferred tax liability	143,0	00	119,000
Other	2,062,0	00_	2,611,000
Total long-term liabilities	15,268,0	00	16,817,000
(Notes 2, 9 and 11) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: Preferred stock, \$10 par value, authorized 500,000			
shares; no shares issued and outstanding		•	
outstanding	8,0	00	8,000
and 1,248,390 shares outstanding	13,0		13,000
Paid-in capital	11,154,0		11,154,000
Retained earnings (deficit)	(6,531,00	00)	(4,227,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss:			
Cumulative translation adjustment	61,0		(171,000)
Minimum pension liability, net of tax	(3,908,00		(3,530,000)
	797,0		3,247,000
Less: Treasury stock at cost (32,914 shares)	(185,0		(185,000)
	612,0		3,062,000
	\$ 45,315,0	00	\$ 46,246,000

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS AND RETAINED EARNINGS (DEFICIT)

	Year Ended						
		November 28, November 29,			November 30,		
		2003		2002		2001	
Revenues:			_				
Net sales	\$	65,873,000	\$_	65,259,000	\$	66,498,000	
Costs and Expenses:							
Cost of products sold		54,952,000		51,729,000		54,802,000	
Selling, general and administrative		11,917,000		11,770,000		12,382,000	
		66,869,000		63,499,000		67,184,000	
Operating income (loss)	,	(996,000)		1,760,000		(686,000)	
Interest expense		(1,787,000)		(2,026,000)		(2,298,000)	
Foreign currency exchange gain (loss)		28,000		64,000		(25,000)	
Other income, net		1,000	-	178,000		99,000	
Loss from continuing operations before income taxes.		(2,754,000)		(24,000)		(2,910,000)	
Provision for (benefit from) income taxes		61,000		(125,000)		(11,000)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations		(2,815,000)		101,000		(2,899,000)	
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax		511,000					
Net income (loss)		(2,304,000)		101,000		(2,899,000)	
Retained earnings (deficit) at beginning of year		(4,227,000)		(4,328,000)		(1,311,000)	
Treasury stock issued			_			(118,000)	
Retained earnings (deficit) at end of year	\$	(6,531,000)	\$ _	(4,227,000)	\$	(4,328,000)	
PER SHARE DATA: BASIC EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:							
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(1.37)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.42)	
Income from discontinued operations	Ψ	0.25	Ψ.	0.05	*	(1.42)	
Net income (loss)	\$	(1.12)	\$ -	0.05	\$	(1.42)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	Ψ		-		Ψ		
weighted average number of shares outstanding		2,058,976	=	2,058,976		2,042,411	
DILUTED EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:							
Income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(1.37)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.42)	
Income from discontinued operations	Ψ	0.25	*		*	(1.72)	
Net income (loss)	\$	(1.12)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.42)	
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	7	2,058,976	-	2,105,857	*	2,042,411	
mergined average number of shares outstanding		2,038,970	-	2,103,837		2,042,411	

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

		Year Ended	
	November 28, 2003	November 29, 2002	November 30, 2001
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,304,000)	\$101,000	\$ (2,899,000)
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:			
Foreign currency translation adjustments	232,000	108,000	12,000
Minimum pension liability adjustment	(378,000)	(1,296,000)	(2,234,000)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(146,000)	(1,188,000)	(2,222,000)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$_(2,450,000)	\$(1,087,000)	\$ (5,121,000)

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

November 28, 2003 2002 2001
ACTIVITIES: \$ (2,304,000) \$ 101,000 \$ (2,899,000) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss), to net cash provided by operating activities: 2,911,000 2,973,000 3,053,000 Depreciation and amortization
Net income (loss)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss), to net cash provided by operating activities: Depreciation and amortization
cash provided by operating activities: 2,911,000 2,973,000 3,053,000 Gain on disposal of plant assets (131,000) Provision for environmental reserves 17,000 72,000 89,000 Income from discontinued operations (511,000)
Gain on disposal of plant assets (131,000) Provision for environmental reserves 17,000 72,000 89,000 Income from discontinued operations (511,000)
Provision for environmental reserves
Income from discontinued operations
•
Amortization of deferred compensation 38 000
Other 24,000
Changes in assets and liabilities:
Accounts receivable
Inventory
Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Other assets
Trade accounts payable
Accrued expenses
Other liabilities
Pension obligation
Net cash provided by operating activities
CASH FLOWS RELATING TO INVESTING ACTIVITIES:
Capital expenditures
Proceeds from sale of plant assets 131,000
Sale/leaseback of plant assets 60,000
Net cash used in investing activities
CASH FLOWS RELATING TO FINANCING ACTIVITIES:
Net (decrease)increase in revolving line of credit 411,000 35,000 (338,000
Payments of term debt
Payments on capital leases
Net cash used in financing activities
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash
Net change in cash
Cash at the beginning of the year
Cash at the end of the year \$ 10,000 \$ 37,000 \$ 7,000
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information
Cash paid for interest
Cash paid for income taxes

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

- A. The Company -- Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries primarily operate through the following two business segments: Plymouth Tapes and Brite-Line Technologies. Management has determined these to be Plymouth Rubber Company's business segments, based upon its process to review and assess Company performance, and to allocate resources. Plymouth Tapes manufactures plastic and rubber products, including automotive, electrical, and industrial tapes. Brite-Line Technologies manufactures and supplies rubber and plastic highway marking and safety products.
- **B.** Principles of Consolidation -- The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. and Plymouth Rubber Europa, S.A.. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.
- C. Inventories -- Inventories are valued at the lower of cost, determined principally on the first-in, first-out method, or market.
- **D.** Revenue Recognition -- The Company recognizes revenues at the point of passage of title, which is generally at the time of shipment.
- E. Plant Assets -- Plant assets are stated at cost for purchased assets and at the lesser of the present value of minimum lease payments or fair value for capital lease assets. Additions, renewals and betterments of plant assets, unless those of relatively minor amounts, are capitalized. Maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation and amortization are provided on the straight-line method based upon the estimated useful lives of 15-45 years for buildings and 3-14 years for machinery and equipment. Capital leases are depreciated over the shorter of the estimated useful life or the life of the lease. The cost and related accumulated depreciation of fully depreciated and disposed assets are removed from the accounts. The Company reviews long-lived assets annually or whenever events of circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of the asset may not be recoverable in accordance with FAS No. 144. Any impaired assets are written down to their estimated fair value based on the best information available to the Company. The Company wrote off approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million of fully depreciated plant assets in 2003 and 2002, respectively.
- **F.** Environmental Matters -- Environmental expenditures that relate to current operations or to an existing condition caused by past operations are expensed. Liabilities are recorded without regard to possible recoveries from third parties, including insurers, when environmental assessments and/or remediation efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated.
- **G.** Retirement Plans -- The Company provides certain pension and health benefits to retired employees. Pension costs are accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, "Employers' Accounting for Pensions". Unrecognized pension gains and losses are amortized on a straight-line basis over ten years. The cost of postretirement health benefits is accrued during the employees' active service period in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions".
- **H.** Income Taxes -- The Company reports income taxes using the asset and liability approach, which requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts and the tax bases of the Company's assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that these items will either expire before the Company is able to realize their benefit, or that future deductibility is uncertain.
- I. Earnings Per Share -- In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 128, "Earnings per Share" (FAS 128), basic earnings per share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by giving effect to all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the period.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies -- (Continued)

- J. Fair Value of Financial Instruments -- The carrying amounts reported in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets for accounts receivable, and accounts payable approximate fair values because of the immediate or short-term maturities of these financial instruments. The carrying amount of the Company's fixed rate debt also approximates fair value based on current rates for similar debt.
- K. Stock-Based Employee Compensation Plans -- The Company's stock option plans are accounted for in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25"). The Company uses the disclosure requirements of Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("FAS 123"). Under APB No. 25, the Company does not recognize compensation expense on stock options granted to employees, because the exercise price of each option is equal to the market price of the underlying stock on the date of the grant. If the Company had elected to recognize compensation cost based on the fair value of the options granted at grant date as prescribed by FAS 148, which the Company adopted during the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Company's net loss and loss per share would have been reduced to the following pro forma amounts:

		Year Ended					
		November 28, 2003		November 29, 2002		November 30, 2001	
	_		_				
Net income (loss), as reported	\$	(2,304,000)	\$	101,000	\$	(2,899,000)	
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value method for all awards, net of related tax							
effects		(138,000)		(192,000)		(135,000)	
Net income (loss), pro forma	\$	(2,442,000)	\$	(91,000)	\$ _	(3,034,000)	
Earnings per share:							
Basic EPS, as reported	\$	(1.12)	\$	0.05	\$_	(1.42)	
Basic EPS, pro forma	\$	(1.19)	\$	(0.04)	\$ _	(1.49)	
Diluted EPS, as reported	\$	(1.12)	\$	0.05	\$_	(1.42)	
Diluted EPS, pro forma	\$	(1.19)	\$	(0.04)	\$_	(1.49)	

The weighted average fair value of the options granted during the fiscal 2002 and 2001 was \$0.81 and \$1.23 per option, respectively. No options were granted during fiscal 2003. Share under the option plans that were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share at November 28, 2003, November 29, 2002 and November 30, 2001 due to their antidilutive nature.

- L. Foreign Currencies -- The U.S. dollar is the functional currency for the Company's Brite-Line and U.S. tape operations. For these operations, all gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations. The Company operates a wholly-owned tape subsidiary in Spain which accounted for approximately 13.8% of the Company's revenues in fiscal 2003. The functional currency of this subsidiary is the Euro. The balance sheet is translated at year end exchange rates and the statement of operations at weighted average exchange rates. Changes in the Euro exchange rate could affect the reporting of the subsidiary's earnings in the Consolidated Statement of Operations.
- M. Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs -- In accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force Issue 00-10, "Accounting for Shipping and Handling Fees and Costs" ("Issue 00-10"), the Company classifies shipping and handling fees as revenues and shipping and handling costs as part of selling, general and administrative expenses. Shipping and handling costs were \$2,733,000, \$2,409,000 and \$2,603,000 in 2003, 2002 and 2001, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies -- (Continued)

- N. Accounting for Derivatives -- Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" ("FAS 133"), as amended, was effective for the Company as of December 2, 2000. FAS 133 establishes accounting and reporting standards requiring that all derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, be recorded in the balance sheet as either assets or liabilities measured at fair value. FAS 133 requires that changes in the derivative instrument's fair value be recognized currently in earnings, unless specific hedge accounting criteria are met. Special accounting for qualifying hedges allows a derivative instrument's gains and losses to offset related results on the hedged item in the statement of operations, and requires that a company must formally document, designate, and assess the effectiveness of derivative instruments that receive hedge accounting. The Company did not hold any derivative positions for the years ended November 28, 2003, and November 29, 2002.
- O. Use of Estimates -- The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.
- **P.** Reclassifications -- Certain reclassifications of prior year balances have been made to conform to the current presentation.
- Q. Business Combinations, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets -- In June 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, "Business Combinations" (FAS 141), and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" (FAS 142). FAS 141 requires that the purchase method of accounting be used for all business combinations initiated after June 30, 2001. FAS 141 also specifies criteria that intangible assets acquired in a purchase method business combination must meet to be recognized and reported apart from goodwill. FAS 142 requires that goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives no longer be amortized, but instead tested for impairment. FAS 142 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001, and was adopted by the Company effective December 1, 2001. As of November 28, 2003, the Company had unamortized goodwill of approximately \$481,000, which is subject to the provisions of FAS 142.
- R. Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets -- In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets" (FAS 144), which supersedes Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-lived Assets and for Long-lived Assets to Be Disposed Of' (FAS 121), and the accounting and reporting provisions of APB Opinion No. 30, "Reporting the Results of Operations -- Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions" (APB 30), for the disposal of a segment of a business. FAS 121 did not address the accounting for a segment of a business accounted for as a discontinued operation under APB 30, as a result two accounting models existed for long-lived assets to be disposed of. FAS 144 establishes a single accounting model, based on the framework established in FAS 121, for long-lived assets to be disposed of. It also addresses certain significant implementation issues under FAS 121. The provisions of FAS 144 will be effective for the Company as of November 30, 2002. The adoption of FAS 144 did not have a material effect on Company's consolidated financial statements.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies -- (Continued)

- S. Accounting for Stock Based Compensation -- On December 31, 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB or the Board) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation -- Transition and Disclosure -- an amendment of FAS 123 (FAS 148). As the title of the standard implies, it is fairly limited in its scope, however it will have implications for all entities that issue stock-based compensation to their employees. This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method used on reported results. This Statement permits two additional transition methods for entities that adopt the preferable method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. Both of those methods avoid the ramp-up effect arising from prospective application of the fair value based method. In addition, to address concerns raised by some constituents about the lack of comparability caused by multiple transition methods, this Statement does not permit the use of the original Statement 123 prospective method of transition for changes to the fair value based method made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2003. During the first quarter of fiscal 2003 the Company adopted the additional disclosure provisions of FAS 148.
- T. Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 45 -- In November 2002, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued FASB Interpretation No. 45 (FIN 45), Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantee, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others (an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57, and 107 and rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34). FIN 45 clarifies the requirements of the FASB Statement No. 5 (FAS 5), Accounting for Contingencies, relating to a guarantor's accounting for, and disclosure of, the issuance of certain types of guarantees. FIN 45 requires that upon issuance of a guarantee, the guarantor must recognize a liability for the fair value of the obligation it assumes under that guarantee. Many guarantees are embedded in purchase or sales agreements, service contracts, joint venture agreements, or other commercial agreements and the guarantor in many of those arrangements does not receive separately identifiable up-front payment (e.g., a premium) for issuing the guarantee. Prior to FIN 45, many guarantors did not recognize an initial liability for such embedded guarantees. Now, however, they are required to recognize a liability at fair value upon issuance of the guarantees, regardless of whether they receive a separate premium for doing so. The Interpretation is intended to improve the comparability of financial reporting by requiring identical accounting for guarantees issued with a separately identified premium and guarantees issued without a separately identified premium. For guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002, significant new disclosure requirements are effective beginning with 2002 calendar year-end financial statements, including a requirement to disclose the maximum amount of future payments that an entity might need to make under a guarantee and a reconciliation of during the period in their product warranty liabilities At November 28, 2003, the Company had no guarantees falling in the scope of FIN 45. The Company will apply the provisions required by FIN 45 in future periods if any guarantees are formed.
- U. Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 46 -- In January 2003, the FASB issued FIN 46, "Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51." The primary objective of the Interpretation is to provide guidance on the identification of, and financial reporting for, entities over which control is achieved through means other than voting rights. Such entities are known as variable-interest entities (VIEs). Although the FASB's initial focus was on special-purpose entities (SPEs), the final guidance applies to a wide range of entities. FIN 46 applies to new entities that are created after the effective date, as well as applies to existing entities. The FIN is effective to preexisting entities as of the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003, and to any new entities beginning February 1, 2003. Once it goes into effect, FIN 46 will be the guidance that determines (1) whether consolidation is required under the "controlling financial interest" model of Accounting Research Bulletin No. 51 (ARB51), Consolidated Financial Statements, or other existing authoritative guidance, or, alternatively, (2) whether the variable-interest model under FIN 46 should be used to account for existing and new entities. The Company will apply the consolidation requirement of FIN 46 in future periods if it should own any interest in any variable interest entity.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 1 -- Summary of Significant Accounting Policies -- (Continued)

- V. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities -- In April 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 149, "Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" (FAS 149). This Statement amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts (collectively referred to as derivatives) and for hedging activities under FAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. FAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified and for hedging relationships designated after June 30, 2003. At November 28, 2003, the Company had no financial instruments falling within the scope of FAS No. 149.
- W. Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity --In May 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 150, "Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity" (FAS 150). FAS 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability (or an asset in some circumstances). Many of those instruments were previously classified as equity. This Statement is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The adoption of FAS 150 did not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.
- X. Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits -- In December 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 132 (revised 2003), Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits. The provisions of that Statement do not change the measurement and recognition provisions of FASB Statements No. 87, Employers' Accounting for Pensions, No. 88, Employers' Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, and No. 106, Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. Statement 132(R) replaces FASB Statement No. 132, Employers' Disclosures about Pensions and Other Postretirement Benefits, and adds among other things additional disclosure pertaining to plan assets, benefit obligations, key assumptions and measurement dates. The effective dates of the pronouncement for domestic plans are fiscal years ending after December 15, 2003.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 2 -- Going Concern, Borrowing Arrangements and Financing Commitments

Going Concern

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company's limited liquidity under existing debt arrangements, its working capital deficit, the recent history of losses, the pension payment due August 2004, and the overall risks associated with achieving the 2004 plan may indicate that the Company will be unable to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As of November 28, 2003, the Company had no unused borrowing capacity under its revolving line of credit with its primary working capital lender, after consideration of collateral limitations.

The Company's working capital position decreased from a negative \$2,339,000 at November 29, 2002 to a negative \$4,350,000 at November 28, 2003, due to a \$2,119,000 increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses, a \$717,000 increase in short term debt, a \$232,000 increase in the current portion of long term borrowings, a \$60,000 decrease in prepaid and other current assets, and a \$27,000 decrease in cash, partially offset by a \$734,000 increase in inventory and a \$410,000 decrease in accounts receivable.

For fiscal 2004, management's plan is to increase sales and control expenses to improve profitability and provide additional cash from operating activities. The plan also calls for working with a variety of potential lending sources to acquire additional financing, as well as discussions with existing lenders and others to restructure various obligations.

It is management's belief that cash flows generated from operations, and/or additional financing, and/or a restructuring of existing debt and pension obligations will be sufficient to meet the Company's liquidity needs during fiscal 2004. Management also implemented a number of expense reductions during the fourth quarter of 2003, including wage and salary reductions and the deferral of certain personnel replacements or additions. Although management expects to be able to accomplish its business and financing plans, there is no assurance that it will be able to do so. The Company's plans depend upon many factors. Failure to accomplish these plans could have an adverse impact on the Company's liquidity, financial position, and ability to continue operating as a going concern.

Borrowing Arrangements and Financing Commitments

In November 2002 the Company completed the restructuring of its term debt. Under the revised agreements, the term debt lenders (1) reduce principal payments from approximately \$9.2 million to \$4.1 million for the period April 1, 2002 through September 20, 2005; (2) establish the maturity dates of the remaining debt of approximately \$4.5 million on October 1, 2005, and \$1.3 million between October 1, 2005 and May 1, 2008; (3) eliminate all financial covenants; (4) waive existing defaults; and (5) rescind prior demands for accelerated payments. As part of the restructuring, the Company entered into Mortgage and Assignment of Leases and Rents, Security Agreement, Trademark Security Agreement and Patent Security Agreement (the "Security Agreements") with its lenders to secure performance of its obligations. Under the Security Agreements, the Company granted its (term debt) lenders (1) a subordinated mortgage on its manufacturing facility in Canton, Massachusetts and (2) security interests in all of its patents, trademarks, intangibles, accounts, fixtures, products and proceeds.

The restructured term debt agreements contain a provision beginning for the fiscal year ending November 2003 and continuing for each fiscal year thereafter, for annual payments of 25% of "Free Cash Flow". Free Cash Flow means the amount obtained by subtracting (a) the sum of (1) interest expense, (2) principal payments of debt paid, (3) non-financed capital expenditures, (4) federal and state income tax payments, (5) pension contributions payments, (6) environmental payments against reserves, from (b) EBITDA. Payments of Free Cash Flow are to be shared by the term debt lenders and are to be applied to outstanding principal on the term debt. For fiscal 2003 there was no Free Cash Flow payment required.

In addition, the revised agreement with the Company's primary term debt lender contains a provision, which allows for extended principal payments of the approximately \$4.5 million due October 1, 2005. This provision requires that the

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 2 -- Going Concern, Borrowing Arrangements and Financing Commitments -- (Continued)

Company demonstrate to the lender that (1) the senior lien priority of the mortgage on the Company's real property is limited to \$2.0 million; and (2) that the appraised fair market value of the real property subject to the mortgage is not less that \$2.5 million. If these conditions are satisfied to the lender's satisfaction, then the \$4.5 million of principal due October 1, 2005 will have extended maturity dates from April 1, 2006 to April 1, 2011.

The revolving line of credit and term debt of the Company consisted of the following as of:

		November 28, 2003	1	November 29, 2002
Short-term borrowings under a revolving line of credit, secured by a first interest in accounts receivable, inventory, certain equipment and certain other personal property with interest charged at prime plus 1%. At November 28, 2003, the Company had approximately \$0 in borrowing availability on its revolving line of credit. The interest rate at November 28, 2003 was 5.0%.		11,371,000	\$	10,741,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$3,000,000, with a month to month renewal term, secured by a first interest in real property. Monthly Principal payments of \$50,000 plus interest at prime plus 1% are required. The interest rate at November 28, 2003 was 5.0 %		600,000		1,200,000
Short-term borrowings with four Spanish Banks and a German Bank with interest rates ranging from 3.08% to 5.25% at November 28, 2003. Principal amount 1,729,000 euros (approximately \$2,060,000) at November 28, 2003		2,060,000 14,031,000	\$_ *_	1,373,000 13,314,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$2,228,000, with interest at 9.50%, due May 2008, secured by a first interest in certain equipment		2,054,000		2,228,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$3,710,000, with interest at 8.04%, due October 2005, secured by a first interest in certain equipment		1,402,000		1,705,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$4,050,000, with interest at 8.54%, due October 2005, secured by a first interest in certain equipment	;	2,150,000		2,414,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$550,000, with interest at 8.75%, due October 2005, secured by a first interest in certain equipment	1	379,000		420,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$1,469,979, with interest at 9.56%, due October 2005, secured by a first interest in certain equipment		1,157,000		1,264,000
Term debt, in the original amount of \$1,104,077, with interest at 8.98%, due October 2005, secured by a first interest in certain equipment		900,000		978,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of \$450,000, with due interest at 7.75%, due October 2005, secured by a first interest in certain equipment		125,000		180,000
Term debt, in the original principal amount of 1,502,000 euros, due April 2007, secured by a first interest in real property. With interest at the one-year Madrid inter-bank market rate (MIBOR) plus 1.25%, adjusted quarterly. The interest				
rate at November 28 2003 was 3.5%		626,000		672,000
Capital lease obligations (see Note 9)	-	552,000	-	647,000
I are summent mention		9,345,000		10,508,000
Less current portion	\$	1,768,000 7,577,000	\$	1,536,000 8,972,000
	Φ.	1,511,000	Φ_	0,772,000

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 2 -- Going Concern, Borrowing Arrangements and Financing Commitments -- (Continued)

During the prior fiscal year, one of the Company's debt lenders was acquired by another financial institution. As a result, at the year ended November 29, 2002 a single term note replaced four individual term notes.

Maturities of long-term obligations in the next five years are: 2004 - \$1,768,000; 2005 - \$5,874,000; 2006 - \$685,000; 2007 - \$710,000; 2008 - \$308,000; and thereafter - \$0.

Note 3 -- Income Taxes

Income (loss) before taxes consists of the following:

		Year Ended	
	November 28,	November 29,	November 30,
	2003	2002	2001
U.S	\$ (2,488,000)	\$ (263,000)	\$ (2,869,000)
Foreign	245,000	239,000	(41,000)
Total	\$ (2,243,000)	\$ (24,000)	\$ (2,910,000)

The provision (benefit) for income taxes consists of the following:

		Year Ended		
	November 28,	November 29,		November 30,
	2003	2002		2001
Current:			_	
Federal	\$ 	\$ (187,000)	\$	
State				
Foreign	61,000	62,000	_	(11,000)
	61,000	(125,000)		(11,000)
Deferred:				, _
Federal				
State		<u></u>	_	
Total	\$ 61,000	\$ (125,000)	\$_	(11,000)

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 3 -- Income Taxes -- (Continued)

The components of the net deferred tax asset (liability) are as follows:

		November 28,	N	November 29,
	_	2003		2002
Deferred tax assets:				
Pension obligations	\$	2,968,000	\$	2,673,000
Federal and state NOL carryforwards		2,631,000		1,746,000
Environmental reserves.		428,000		455,000
AMT credit carryfoward		246,000		246,000
Postretirement benefits		464,000		435,000
State investment tax credit (ITC) carryforwards		389,000		357,000
Other reserves		870,000		1,176,000
Total gross deferred tax assets	_	7,996,000		7,088,000
Valuation allowance		(6,124,000)		(5,226,000)
	-	1,872,000		1,862,000
Deferred tax liability:				
Plant assets		(2,015,000)		(1,981,000)
Net deferred tax asset (liability)	\$	(143,000)	\$	(119,000)

In accordance with the Statement of Financial Accounting Standard No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes" (FAS 109), management performed an analysis of the realizability of its deferred tax assets. FAS 109 requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The Company increased the valuation allowance for deferred tax assets by \$898,000 and \$755,000 in 2003 and 2002, respectively. Such an increase was deemed necessary as the net deferred tax asset balance at November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002 could not be carried back to recover taxes paid in previous years and will ot be offset by the reversal of future taxable differences. Also, the Company's liquidity situation at November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002 provides significant negative evidence regarding the ability to generate sufficient taxable income in the future to recover these assets.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 3 -- Income Taxes -- (Continued)

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate and the effective income tax rate for income from continuing operations for the years ended November 28, 2003, November 29, 2002, and November 30, 2001, is as follows:

		Year Ended	
	November 28,	November 29,	November 30,
	2003	2002	2001
Tax (benefit) computed at statutory rate \$	(763,000)	\$ (8,000)	\$ (989,000)
State income taxes, net of federal			
income tax benefit	(15,000)	(333,000)	(154,000)
Recovery of federal tax credit		(187,000)	
State investment tax credit	32,000	(25,000)	
Valuation allowance	751,000	435,000	1,127,000
Rate differential attributable to			
foreign operations	(22,000)	(19,000)	1,000
Other	78,000	12,000	4,000
:	61,000	\$ (125,000)	\$ (11,000)
Effective income tax rate	2.7%	(520.8)%	(0.4)%

During 2003 and 2002, the Company recorded a \$3,908,000 and \$3,530,000 minimum pension liability and a full valuation allowance on the related deferred tax asset of \$1,535,000 and \$1,386,000. These amounts are included in Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the year ended November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002, respectively.

Note 4 -- Accrued Expenses

The Company's accrued expenses consist of the following:

	N	lovember 28, 2003]	November 29, 2002	
Accrued payroll and related benefits	\$	683,000	\$	972,000	
Accrued pension contributions		1,580,000		1,250,000	
Other		2,275,000		2,260,000	
	\$	4,538,000	\$_	4,482,000	

Note 5 -- Retirement and Other Benefit Plans

The Company has a non-contributory, defined benefit pension plan and a contributory, defined contribution profit sharing plan, covering substantially all employees. The Company's defined benefit pension plan provides benefits for stated amounts for each year of service through fiscal 1996 after which time benefits have been frozen. The Company's funding policy for the pension plan is to make contributions at least equal to the minimum required by the applicable regulations. The Company's defined contribution profit sharing trust allocates Company contributions based upon a combination of annual pay and employee elective deferral of pay. The Company may make a discretionary contribution to the profit sharing trust. During 2003, 2002 and 2001, the Company did not accrue for any profit sharing contribution.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 5 -- Retirement and Other Benefit Plans -- (Continued)

The following table provides reconciliation of changes in benefit obligations and fair value of plan assets. In addition, this table shows the plan's funded status and the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet for the Company's defined benefit pension plan.

r i		November 28,	l	November 29,
		2003		2002
Reconciliation of benefit obligation:	-		_	
Benefit obligation at beginning of year	\$	13,012,000	\$	12,996,000
Interest cost		720,000		757,000
Benefit payments		(1,219,000)		(1,215,000)
Actuarial loss		627,000		474,000
Benefit obligation at end of year	\$	13,140,000	\$	13,012,000
Reconciliation of fair value of plan assets:	=			
Fair value at beginning of year	\$	6,647,000	\$	8,247,000
Actual return on plan assets		414,000		(385,000)
Employer contributions		232,000		
Benefit payments		(1,219,000)		(1,215,000)
Fair value at end of year	\$	6,074,000	\$	6,647,000
Funded Status:	=		_	
Funded status	\$	(7,066,000)	\$	(6,365,000)
Unrecognized loss		3,908,000		3,530,000
Net amount recognized	\$	(3,158,000)	\$_	(2,835,000)
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet:				
Accrued pension cost	\$	(7,066,000)	\$	(6,365,000)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		3,908,000		3,530,000
Net amount recognized	\$	(3,158,000)	\$_	(2,835,000)

Net periodic pension expense for the pension plan for the years ended November 28, 2003, November 29, 2002 and November 30, 2001, is as follows:

			Year Ended		
	November 28, 2003		November 29, 2002		November 30, 2001
Service cost	\$ 	\$		\$	
Interest cost	720,000		758,000		832,000
Expected return on assets	(533,000)		(686,000)		(730,000)
Amortization of net gain	367,000	_	248,000	_	(7,000)
Net periodic pension expense	\$ 554,000	\$	320,000	\$_	95,000

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 5 -- Retirement and Other Benefit Plans -- (Continued)

Key weighted-average assumptions used in the measurement of the Company's defined benefit pension obligation are as follows:

	2003	2002	2001
Discount rate	5.25%	5.75%	6.00%
Long term rate of return on assets	8.75%	9.00%	8.00%

In addition to pension benefits, the Company provides health insurance benefits to retirees disabled on the job and employees who elect early retirement after age 62, on a shared-cost basis. This coverage ceases when the employee reaches age 65 and becomes eligible for Medicare. In addition, the Company provides certain limited life insurance for retired employees. In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other than Pensions", the cost of these benefits is accrued during the employees' active service period.

The following table provides a reconciliation of changes in benefit obligations. In addition, this table shows the funded status and the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet for the Company's postretirement benefits.

November 28, 2003		November 29, 2002	
Reconciliation of benefit obligation:		_	
Benefit obligation at beginning of year\$	1,194,000	\$	807,000
Service cost	60,000		63,000
Interest cost	61,000		61,000
Benefit payments	(52,000)		(60,000)
Actuarial (gain) loss	(69,000)		323,000
Benefit obligation at end of year\$	1,194,000	\$_	1,194,000
Reconciliation of fair value of plan assets:			
Fair value at beginning of year\$		\$	
Employer contributions	52,000		60,000
Benefit payments	(52,000)		(60,000)
Fair value at end of year		\$	
Funded Status:			
Funded status\$	(1,194,000)	\$	(1,194,000)
Unrecognized gain	90,000		158,000
Accrued postretirement benefit cost \$	(1,104,000)	\$_	(1,036,000)
Amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheet:			
Accrued benefit obligation	(1,104,000)	\$	(1,036,000)
Net amount recognized\$	(1,104,000)	\$	(1,036,000)

Key weighted-average assumptions used in the measurement of the Company's postretirement benefit obligation are as follows:

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 5 -- Retirement and Other Benefit Plans -- (Continued)

	2003	2002	2001
Discount rate	5.25%	5.75%	6.00%

For measurement purposes, a 10.0% annual rate of increase in the per capita cost of covered health care benefits was assumed for 2003. The rate assumed decreased gradually to 5.0% for 2010 and remains at that level thereafter.

The components of net postretirement expense are as follows:

	Year Ended					
		November 28, 2003		November 29, 2002		November 30, 2001
Service cost	\$	60,000	\$	63,000	\$	35,000
Interest cost		61,000		61,000		49,000
Amortization of (gain) loss						(10,000)
Net periodic postretirement expense	\$	121,000	\$	124,000	\$_	74,000

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the health care plans. A one percent change in the assumed health care cost trend rates would have the following effects:

int Increase	Do	
THE THE CABO	Po	int Decrease
15,000 100,000	\$	(12,000) (86,000)
		15,000 \$

Note 6 -- Common Stock and Earnings (Loss) Per Share

The Company has authorized a class of preferred stock. To date, no shares have been issued.

Common stock activity was as follows:

	Sha	ares		Common Stock				Paid in		Treasury
	Class A	Class B	_	Class A	_	Class B	_	Capital	_	Stock
Balance at December 1, 2000	810,586	1,281,304	\$	810,000	\$	1,281,000	\$	9,084,000	\$	(327,000)
Issuance of treasury shares										142,000
Balance at November 30, 2001	810,586	1,281,304	\$	810,000	\$	1,281,000	\$	9,084,000	\$	(185,000)
Balance at November 29, 2002	810,586	1,281,304	\$	810,000	\$	1,281,000	\$	9,084,000	\$	(185,000)
Change in par value				(802,000)	(1,268,000)		2,070,000		
Balance at November 28, 2003	810,586	1,281,304	\$_	8,000	\$_	13,000	\$	11,154,000	\$_	(185,000)

In April 2003, the shareholders approved an amendment to the Company's Restated Articles of Organization reducing the par value for Class A and Class B Common Stock from \$1.00 per share to \$0.01 per share. Accordingly, the value for Class A and Class B Common Stock and Paid in capital on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet, have been adjusted for this change in par value for all periods presented.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 6 -- Common Stock and Earnings (Loss) Per Share -- (Continued)

Treasury stock includes 32,914 shares of Class B Common Stock at November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002, respectively. During fiscal 2001, 22,086 shares of treasury stock were issued to Directors in lieu of cash payment of annual non-employee director retainer fees.

The following table reflects the factors used in computing earnings (loss) per share and the effect on income (loss) and the weighted average number of shares of dilutive potential common stock.

		Year Ended					
		November 28,		November 29,		November 30,	
		2003		2002	_	2001	
Basis earnings (loss) per share							
Net income (loss) from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	(2,815,000) 511,000	\$	101,000	\$_	(2,899,000)	
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	\$	(2,304,000)	\$	101,000	\$	(2,899,000)	
Weighted average shares		2,058,976		2,058,976	_	2,042,411	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations	\$	(1.37)	\$	0.05	\$	(1.42)	
Net income (loss) per share	\$	0.25	œ.		\$		
Net income (loss) per share	Ф	(1.12)	\$	0.05	Ф=	(1.42)	
Diluted earnings(loss) per share							
Net income (loss) from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations Net income (loss) available to common	\$	(2,815,000) 511,000	\$	101,000	\$	(2,899,000)	
stockholders	\$	(2,304,000)	\$	101,000	\$_	(2,899,000)	
Weighted average shares		2,058,976		2,058,976	_	2,042,411	
Effect of dilutive stock options			_	46,881	_		
Diluted weighted average shares		2,058,976		2,105,857	_	2,042,411	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	\$	(1.37) 0.25	\$	0.05	\$	(1.42)	
Net income (loss) per share	\$	(1.12)	\$	0.05	\$_	(1.42)	

⁽a) Options for 548,372, 413,181, and 380,729 shares of common stock were outstanding at November 28, 2003, November 29, 2002 and November 30, 2001, respectively, but were not included in computing diluted earnings (loss) per share in each of the respective periods because their effects were anti-dilutive. In addition, options for 100,667 shares of common stock were outstanding November 30, 2001, respectively, but were not included in computing diluted earnings per share because of the net loss.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 7 -- Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans

The Company established on June 29, 1992, an incentive stock option plan entitled the "1992 Employee Stock Option Plan" (the "1992 Plan"). The 1992 Plan authorizes the granting of options to key employees and officers to purchase an aggregate of 225,000 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock. The exercise price of the options granted under the 1992 Plan may be no less than the fair market value of the shares subject thereto on the date of grant. Although the Board of Directors or Committee administering the 1992 Plan may authorize variations from the standard terms, options under the 1992 Plan will generally be exercisable in annual one-fourth increments, beginning one year from the date of grant, with an additional one-fourth becoming exercisable at the end of each of the years thereafter. The options are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. The period for granting options under this plan has expired.

On February 1, 1995, the Company established an incentive stock option plan entitled the "1995 Employee Incentive Stock Option Plan" (the "1995 Employee Plan") and a non-employee stock option plan entitled the "1995 Non-employee Directors Stock Option Plan" (the "1995 Director Plan").

The 1995 Employee Plan authorizes the granting of options to key employees and officers to purchase an aggregate of 300,000 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock. The exercise price of the options granted under the 1995 Employee Plan may be no less than the fair market value of the shares subject thereto on the date of grant. Although the Board of Directors or Committee administering the 1995 Employee Plan may authorize variations from the standard terms, options under the 1995 Employee Plan will generally be exercised in annual one-fourth increments, beginning one year from the date of grant, with an additional one-fourth becoming exercisable at the end of each of the years thereafter. The options are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. At November 28 2003, there were 68,742 options available for grant under this plan.

The 1995 Director Plan authorizes the granting of options only to non-employee directors to purchase an aggregate of 210,000 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock. The exercise price of the options granted under the 1995 Director Plan may be no less than the fair market value of the shares subject thereto on the date of grant. The 1995 Director Plan provided for automatic grant, to each current non-employee director, of options to purchase 15,000 shares upon approval by the stockholders and to any new non-employee director upon their appointment or election. Although the Board of Directors or Committee administering the 1995 Director Plan may authorize variations from the standard terms, options under the 1995 Director Plan will generally be exercised in annual one-third increments, beginning one year from the date of grant, with an additional one-third becoming exercisable at the end of each of the years thereafter. The options are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. As of November 28, 2003, there were no options available for grant under this plan.

On February 3, 2002, the Company established an incentive stock option plan entitled the "2002 Stock Incentive Plan" ("the 2002 Plan"). The 2002 Plan authorizes the granting of options to key employees to purchase an aggregate of 300,000 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock. The exercise price of the options granted under the 2002 Plan may be no less than the fair market value of the shares subject thereto on the date of grant. Although the Board of Directors or Committee administering the 2002 Plan may authorize variations from the standard terms, options under the 2002 Plan will generally be exercised in annual one-third increments, beginning one year from the date of grant, with an additional one-third becoming exercisable at the end of each of the years thereafter. The options are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. At November 28 2003, there were 118,200 options available for grant under this plan.

On April 26, 2002, the Company established a non-employee stock option plan entitled the "2002 Non-Employee Director Plan" ("the 2002 Director Plan"). The 2002 Director Plan authorizes the granting of options to non-employee directors to purchase an aggregate of 180,000 shares of the Company's Class B Common Stock. The exercise price of the options granted under the 2002 Director Plan may be no less than the fair market value of the shares subject thereto on the date of grant. Although the Board of Directors or Committee administering the 2002 Plan may authorize variations from the standard terms, options under the 2002 Director Plan will generally be exercised in annual one-third increments, beginning one year from the date of grant, with an additional one-third becoming exercisable at the end of each of the years thereafter. The options are exercisable for ten years from the date of grant. At November 28 2003, there were 108,000 options available for grant under this plan.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 7 -- Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans -- (Continued)

A summary of the Company's stock option plans as of November 28, 2003, November 29, 2002, and November 30, 2001, and the changes during the years then ended on those dates are presented below:

		Weighted
	Number of	Average
	Options	Exercise Price
Outstanding at December 1, 2000	485,569	5.04
Options granted	159,500	1.27
Options exercised		
Options expired	(167,330)	6.31
Outstanding at November 30, 2001	477,739	3.33
Options granted	267,300	0.82
Options exercised		
Options expired	(153,739)	2.21
Outstanding at November 29, 2002	591,300	2.49
Options granted		
Options exercised		•
Options expired	(75,635)	5.89
Outstanding at November 28, 2003	515,665	1.99
		Weighted
	Number of	Average
	Options	Exercise Price
Exercisable at November 30, 2001	215,564	\$ 4.94
Exercisable at November 29, 2002	250,000	\$ 4.57
Exercisable at November 28, 2003	376,765	\$ 2.42

The following table summarizes information about all stock options outstanding at November 28, 2003:

	O	ptions Outstanding	Options :	Exercisable	
		Weighted			
		Average	Weighted		Weighted
Range of	Number	Remaining	Average		Average
Exercise	Of Options	Contractual	Exercise	Number	Exercise
Prices	Outstanding	Life (Years)	Price	Exercisable	Price
\$ 0.75 – 0.90	253,800	7 \$	0.82	114,900	\$ 0.81
1.25 - 1.38	139,500	7	1.28	139,500	1.28
4.25 - 4.63	73,800	5	4.55	73,800	4.55
5.95 – 6.81	38,565	3	6.04	38,565	6.04
7.12 - 7.75	10,000	4	7.13	10,000	7.13
	515,665			376,765	

The options outstanding at November 28, 2003 expire at various times in 2004 through 2012.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 7 -- Stock Option and Stock Purchase Plans -- (Continued)

The fair value of options at the date of grant was estimated using the Black-Scholes model with the following weighted average assumptions:

	2002
Expected life (years)	10
Expected stock price volatility	150 %
Risk-free interest rate	4.00 %

At November 28, 2003 and November 29, 2002, 15,828 shares of the Company's Class B non-voting common stock were reserved for issuance to employees at a purchase price of not less than \$1.00 per share under the Company's Executive Incentive Stock Purchase Plan. Shares issued under the plan are restricted as to disposition by the employees, with such restrictions lapsing over periods ranging from five to nine years from the date of issuance. If the participant's employment is terminated during the restricted period, his or her shares are required to be offered to the Company for repurchase at the original purchase price. The restrictions for all shares of stock issued under this plan have lapsed. Repurchased shares totaled 3,720 at November 28, 2003 and at November 29, 2002. During 2003 and 2002, no shares were repurchased or issued throughout the year. At November 28, 2003 and at November 29, 2002, 30,452 shares were outstanding.

Note 8 -- Segment Information

Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and its subsidiaries primarily operate through the following two business segments: Plymouth Tapes and Brite-Line Technologies. Management has determined these to be Plymouth Rubber Company's business segments, based upon its process of reviewing and assessing Company performance, and allocating resources. Plymouth Tapes manufactures plastic and rubber products, including automotive, electrical, and industrial tapes. Brite-Line Technologies manufactures and supplies rubber and plastic highway marking and safety products.

The reporting segments utilize the accounting policies as described in the summary of significant accounting policies in the Company's consolidated financial statements. Management evaluates the performance of its segments and allocates resources to them primarily based upon sales and operating income. Intersegment sales are at cost and are eliminated in consolidation. In addition, certain of the selling, general and administrative expenses recorded in Plymouth Tapes could be considered as incurred for the benefit of Brite-Line, but are currently not allocated to that segment. These expenses include certain management, accounting, personnel and sales services, and a limited amount of travel, insurance, directors fees and other expenses.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 8 -- Segment Information -- (Continued)

The table below presents information related to Plymouth Rubber's business segments for each of the past three years.

				Year Ended		
		November 28,	1	November 29,	1	November 30,
		2003		2002		2001
Segment sales to unaffiliated customers:			_		_	
Plymouth Tapes	\$	57,712,000	\$	56,237,000	\$	57,553,000
Brite-Line Technologies		8,161,000	_	9,022,000	_	8,945,000
Consolidated net sales	\$	65,873,000	\$_	65,259,000	\$_	66,498,000
Segment income (loss):						
Plymouth Tapes	\$	(1,445,000)	\$	647,000	\$	(1,581,000)
Brite-Line Technologies		449,000		1,113,000		895,000
Consolidated operating income (loss)					_	
from continuing operations		(996,000)		1,760,000		(686,000)
Interest expense		(1,787,000)		(2,026,000)		(2,298,000)
Foreign currency exchange gain (loss)						
from continuing operations		28,000		64,000		(25,000)
Other income, net		1,000		178,000	_	99,000
Consolidated loss from continuing						
operations before tax	\$	(2,754,000)	\$_	(24,000)	\$_	(2,910,000)
Depreciation and amortization:						
Plymouth Tapes	\$	2,803,000	\$	2,889,000	\$	2,967,000
Brite-Line Technologies		108,000	•	84,000		86,000
Total depreciation and amortization	\$	2,911,000	\$_	2,973,000	\$_	3,053,000
Assets:						
Plymouth Tapes	\$	41,936,000	\$	42,709,000	\$	44,559,000
Brite-Line Technologies	•	3,379,000	_	3,537,000	•	4,099,000
Total assets	\$	45,315,000	\$	46,246,000	\$	48,658,000
			_		_	
Geographic information:						
Net sales to unaffiliated customers:						
United States	\$	51,640,000	\$	53,477,000	\$	54,800,000
Spain and Portugal		3,931,000		2,883,000		3,199,000
Other		10,302,000	_	8,899,000	_	8,499,000
Consolidated net sales	\$	65,873,000	\$_	65,259,000	\$_	66,498,000
Long-lived assets:						
United States	\$	17,350,000	\$	19,846,000	\$	22,410,000
Spain		1,984,000	_	1,582,000	_	1,443,000
Total long-lived assets	\$	19,334,000	\$_	21,428,000	\$_	23,853,000
Spain		1,984,000	_	1,582,000	· _	1,443,000

The Company has one customer, whose operations are primarily in the automotive industry, which accounted for 32%, 33% and 33% of net sales in 2003, 2002, and 2001, respectively.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 9 -- Leases

Included in Plant Assets in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet is leased property under capital leases as follows:

	_ _	November 28, 2003	1	November 29, 2002
Machinery and equipment	\$	2,702,000	\$	2,832,000
Less: Accumulated amortization		1,375,000	_	1,355,000
	\$_	1,327,000	\$_	1,477,000

The Company entered into agreements for the sale and leaseback of certain machinery and equipment in the aggregate amount of \$60,000 in 2002. The leases are for periods of 5 years, at the end of which the Company has buy-out options. The leases have been accounted for in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 13, "Accounting for Leases". Amortization of the property under capital leases is included in depreciation expense.

The following is a schedule by year of future minimum lease payments under capital leases at November 28, 2003:

2004	\$ 381,000
2005	90,000
2006	52,000
2007	32,000
Thereafter	 7,000
Total minimum lease payments	 562,000
Less: Amount representing interest	_ 10,000
	\$ 552,000

Minimum annual rentals under noncancelable operating leases (which are principally for equipment) are as follows:

2004	\$ 577,000
2005	463,000
2006	262,000
2007	
2008	

Total rental expense for 2003, 2002 and 2001 was 966,000, \$1,185,000, and \$1,071,000, respectively. Included in the total rental expense in each year are the warehousing costs incurred at various locations. The cost of keeping inventory at these warehouses is primarily determined on a usage basis.

Note 10 -- Transactions with Related Parties

The Company has a consulting agreement with a Director of the Company to provide the Company with various consulting services. During 2003, 2002 and 2001, consulting fees of \$56,400, \$56,400, and \$51,700, respectively, were paid pursuant to this agreement.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 11 -- Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has been named as a Potentially Responsible Party by the United States Environmental Protection Agency in two ongoing claims under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("CERCLA"). The Company has also received Notices of Responsibility under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 21E on two sites in Massachusetts. The Company has accrued \$725,000 as of November 28, 2003 to cover future environmental expenditures related to these claims, which is net of \$505,000 payments made to date. The accrual represents the Company's estimate of the remaining remediation costs based upon the best information currently available. Actual future costs may be different from the amount accrued for as of November 28, 2003 and may be affected by various factors, including future testing, the remediation alternatives taken at the sites, and actual cleanup costs. The final remediation costs could also be subject to adjustment because of the long term nature of the cases, legislative changes, insurance coverage, joint and several liability provisions of CERCLA, and the Company's ability to successfully negotiate an outcome similar to its previous experience in these matters.

The Company has also received Notices of Responsibility under Massachusetts General Laws Chapter 21E on three sites at the Company's facilities in Canton, Massachusetts. In all of these cases, the Company has taken a variety of actions towards the ultimate cleanup, depending upon the status of each of the sites. These activities include the retention of an independent Licensed Site Professional, investigation, assessment, containment, and remediation. The Company has accrued \$277,000 as of November 28, 2003 to cover estimated future environmental cleanup expenditures, which is net of \$961,000 payments made to date. Actual future costs may be different from the amount accrued for as of November 28, 2003.

Note 12 - Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations, net of tax of was \$511,000 in 2003, due to a reduction in product warranty reserves for a roofing business that was discontinued in 1984. Management believes that this reserve, which was last charged with a claim in fiscal 1998, is no longer required, due to the expirations of warranties and a lack of claim activity.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS -- (Continued)

Note 13 -- Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data

The following table presents the quarterly information for fiscal 2003 and 2002.

	_	Quarter Ended							
	_	February 28		May 30		August 29	1	November 28	
2003 (a)									
Net sales	\$	13,543,000	\$	18,287,000	\$	15,970,000	\$	18,073,000	
Gross profit		1,772,000		3,498,000		2,245,000		3,406,000	
Net income (loss)		(1,380,000)		(184,000)		(1,118,000)		378,000	
Earnings (loss) per share:									
Basic	\$	(0.67)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.54)	\$	0.18	
Diluted	\$	(0.67)	\$	(0.09)	\$	(0.54)	\$	0.18	
		March 1		May 31		August 30	l	November 29	
2002 (b)	-				_				
Net sales	\$	13,941,000	\$	17,854,000	\$	17,061,000	\$	16,403,000	
Gross profit		3,087,000		4,150,000		3,726,000		2,567,000	
Net income (loss)		(127,000)		718,000		509,000		(999,000)	
Earnings (loss) per share:									
Basic	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.35	\$	0.25	\$	(0.49)	
Diluted	\$	(0.06)	\$	0.34	\$	0.23	\$	(0.49)	

- (a) Sales in the first and third quarters of 2003 in Plymouth Tapes were reduced due to normal seasonal fluctuations. In addition, sales for the first quarter of 2003 for Brite-Line Technologies were reduced due to the highly seasonal nature of the highway market segment. Net income for the fourth quarter of 2003 includes \$511,000 income from Discontinued Operations.
- (b) Sales in the first and third quarters of 2002 in Plymouth Tapes were reduced due to normal seasonal fluctuations. In addition, sales for the first quarter of 2002 for Brite-Line Technologies were reduced due to the highly seasonal nature of the highway market segment. Net loss for the fourth quarter of 2002 was due to lower margins at Plymouth Tapes, resulting from higher raw material and overhead costs. Net loss for the first quarter of 2002 was also increased due to lower margins at Brite-Line and lower margins on foreign sales

Item 9. Disagreement on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

- (a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, management evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our disclosure controls and procedures are the controls and other procedures that we designed to ensure that we record, process, summarize and report in a timely manner the information we must disclose in reports that we file with or submit to the SEC. Our disclosure controls and procedures include our internal controls. Maurice J. Hamilburg, President and Co-Chief Executive Officer, Joseph D. Hamilburg, Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer, and Joseph J. Berns, Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer supervised and participated in this evaluation. Based on this evaluation, Maurice J. Hamilburg, Joseph D. Hamilburg, and Joseph J. Berns, concluded that, as of the date of their evaluation, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.
- (b) Changes in internal controls. There has been no change in our internal controls over financial reporting during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect those controls.

PART III

Item 10. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

To the extent not included in Part I hereof, the information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement involves the election of Directors and is expected to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended November 28, 2003.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement involves the election of Directors and is expected to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended November 28, 2003.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement involves the election of Directors and is expected to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended November 28, 2003.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement involves the election of Directors and is expected to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended November 28, 2003.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is hereby incorporated by reference from the Company's definitive proxy statement pursuant to Regulation 14A, which proxy statement involves the election of Directors and is expected to be filed with the Commission within 120 days after the close of the fiscal year ended November 28, 2003.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statements, Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K

- (a)1. Financial statements filed as part of this report are listed in the index appearing on page 15.
- (a)2. Financial statement schedules required as part of this report are listed in the index appearing on page 15.
- (a)3. Exhibits required as part of this report are listed in the index appearing on pages 47 50.
- (b) None

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

PLYMOUTH RUBBER COMPANY, INC. (Registrant)

By JOSEPH J. BERNS

Joseph J. Berns

Vice President - Finance and Treasurer

Date: February 26, 2004

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities on February 26, 2003.

MAURICE J. HAMILBURG Maurice J. Hamilburg	President and Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director
JOSEPH D. HAMILBURG Joseph D. Hamilburg	Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer and Director
C. GERALD GOLDSMITH C. Gerald Goldsmith	Director
JANE H. GUY Jane H. Guy	Director
MELVIN L. KEATING Melvin L. Keating	Director
EDWARD PENDERGAST Edward Pendergast	Director
DUANE E. WHEELER Duane E. Wheeler	Director
JOSEPH J. BERNS Joseph J. Berns	Vice President - Finance and Treasurer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)

SCHEDULE II

PLYMOUTH RUBBER COMPANY, INC.

RESERVES

ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

						Accounts		
		Balance at		Provision	(Charged to		Balance
		Beginning		Charged	F	Reserve, net		at End
Deducted from assets:		of Year	to Income		of Recoveries			of Year
Allowance for doubtful accounts	_		_				_	
Year ended November 28, 2003	\$	397,000	\$	130,000	\$	(80,000)	\$	447,000
Year ended November 29, 2002	\$	422,000	\$	88,000	\$	(113,000)	\$	397,000
Year ended November 30, 2001	\$	331,000	\$	101,000	\$	(10,000)	\$	422,000

INVENTORY

	Balance at Beginning	Provision Charged		Amounts Charged to		Balance at End
Deducted from assets:	of Year	to Income		To Reserves		of Year
Inventory Reserves	.,					
Year ended November 28, 2003	\$ 630,000	\$	345,000	\$	(454,000)	\$ 521,000
Year ended November 29, 2002	\$ 408,000	\$	592,000	\$	(370,000)	\$ 630,000
Year ended November 30, 2001	\$ 482,000	\$	453,000	\$	(527,000)	\$ 408,000

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2	Not Applicable.
3.1	Restated Articles of Organization incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 2, 1994.
3.2	By Laws, as amended incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 26, 1993.
4.1	Promissory Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated December 29, 1995 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.8 to the report on Form 10-Q for the Quarter ended March 1, 1996.
4.2	Master Security Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated December 29, 1995 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.viii to the report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 1, 1996.
4.3	Demand Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank dated June 6, 1996 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the report on Form 8-K with cover page dated June 6, 1996.
4.4	Loan and Security Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank dated June 6, 1996 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.2 to the report on Form 8-K with cover page dated June 6, 1996.
4.5	Amendment to Master Security Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated February 19, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.6 to the report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 25, 1997.
4.6	Master Security Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated January 29, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 25, 1997.
4.7	Demand Note between Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank dated February 28, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.13 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 30, 1997.
4.8	Loan and Security Agreement between Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank dated February 25, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 30, 1997.
4.9	Continuing Unconditional Guaranty between Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. LaSalle National Bank dated February 25, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 30, 1997.
4.10	Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank dated May 7, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 30, 1997.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

(Continued)

Exhibit No.	Description
4.11	Continuing Unconditional Guaranty between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and LaSalle National Bank dated March 20, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q or the quarter ended May 30, 1997.
4.12	Public Deed which contains the loan guaranteed by mortgage and granted between Plymouth Rubber Europa, S.A. and Caja de Ahorros Municipal de Vigo, Banco de Bilbao, and Vizcaya y Banco de Comercio dated April 11, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 30, 1997.
4.13	Corporate Guaranty between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and Caja de Ahorros Municipal de Vigo, Banco de Bilbao, and Vizcaya y Banco de Comercio dated April 11, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 to the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended May 30, 1997.
4.14	Promissory Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated December 3, 1997 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.14 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 27, 1998.
4.15	Promissory Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated April 13, 1998 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.15 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 27, 1998.
4.16	Promissory Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated November 12, 1998 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.16 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 27, 1998.
4.17	Promissory Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated November 25, 1998 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.17 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 27, 1998.
4.18	Amendments to Loan and Security Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., and LaSalle National Bank dated July 15, 1998 and February 18, 1999 – incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.18 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 26, 1999.
4.19	Amendment to Loan and Security Agreement between Brite-Line Technologies, Inc., and LaSalle National Bank dated February 18, 1999 – incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.19 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 26, 1999.
4.20	Promissory Note between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporation dated June 29, 1999 – incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.20 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended August 27, 1999.
9.1	Voting Trust Agreement, as amended, relating to certain shares of Company's common stock incorporated by reference to Exhibit 9 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 26, 1993.
9.2	Voting Trust Amendment Number 6 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 9.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 2, 1994.

Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 26, 1993.

10.1

1982 Employee Incentive Stock Option Plan -- incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

(Continued)

Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>
10.2	General Form of Deferred Compensation Agreement entered into between the Company and certain officers incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 26, 1993.
10.3	1992 Employee Incentive Stock Option Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended November 26, 1993.
10.4	1995 Non-Employee Director Stock Option Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated May 4, 1995.
10.5	1995 Employee Incentive Stock Option Plan incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 dated May 4, 1995.
10.6	Sales contract entered into between the Company and Kleinewefers Kunststoffanlagen GmbH incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended February 28, 1997
10.7	Second Modification Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and General Electric Capital Corporationincorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003
10.8	Security Agreement by and between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., General Electric Capital Corporation, The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. and Banknorth, N.A. Corporationincorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.3 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003.
10.9	Patent Security Agreement by and between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., General Electric Capital Corporation, The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. and Banknorth, N.Aincorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.4 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003.
10.10	Trademark Security Agreement by and between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc., General Electric Capital Corporation, The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. and Banknorth, N.Aincorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.5 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003.
10.11	Modification Agreement between Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. and Banknorth Leasing Corporationincorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.6 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003.
10.12	Mortgage and Assignment of Leases and Rents by Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. to and for the benefit of General Electric Capital Corporation, The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. and Banknorth, N.Aincorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.7 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003.
10.13	First Modification to Mortgage and Assignments of Leases and Rents to and for the benefit of General Electric Capital Corporation, The CIT Group/Equipment Financing, Inc. and Banknorth, N.A incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.8 of the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 16, 2003
11	Not Applicable.
12	Not Applicable.

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

(Continued)

Exhibit No.	<u>Description</u>
13	Not Applicable.
15	Not Applicable
16	Not Applicable.
18	Not Applicable.
19	Not Applicable
21	Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. (incorporated in Massachusetts) and Plymouth Rubber Europa, S.A. (organized under the laws of Spain).
22	Not Applicable.
23	Consent of Independent Auditors.
24	Not Applicable.
27	Not Applicable.
28	Not Applicable.
29	Not Applicable.
31.1	Certification of President and Co-Chief Operating Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chairman and Co-Chief Operating Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.3	Certification of Vice President – Finance and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32	Certifications Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Directors

Maurice J. Hamilburg (1)
President and Co-Chief Executive Officer

Joseph D. Hamilburg (1) Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer

C. Gerald Goldsmith (3)
Independent Investor and Financial Consultant

Jane H. Guy (1)
President and Treasurer of
Alladan Kennels Inc.

Melvin L. Keating (2)
President of Picasso Properties

Edward H. Pendergast (2)
President of Pendergast and Company

Duane E. Wheeler (2)(3)
Retired Vice President Finance and
Treasurer

- (1) Member of Executive Committee
- (2) Member of Audit Committee
- (3) Member of Compensation Committee

Officers

Maurice J. Hamilburg
President and Co-Chief Executive Officer

Joseph D. Hamilburg Chairman and Co-Chief Executive Officer

Joseph J. Berns Vice President Finance and Treasurer

Fiore D. DiGiovine Vice President Manufacturing Development

Alan I. Eisenberg Vice President Sales and Marketing

David M. Kozol Clerk and Secretary

Sheldon S. Leppo Vice President Research and Development

Kevin White Vice President Brite-Line Technologies, Inc.

SHAREHOLDER INFORMATION

Corporate Headquarters

Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. 104 Revere Street Canton, Massachusetts 02021 Telephone: (781) 828-0220

Fax: (781) 828-6041

Subsidiaries

Brite-Line Technologies, Inc. Denver, Colorado

Plymouth Rubber Europa, S.A. Porriño, Spain

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

The annual meeting of shareholders will take place at 9:00 A.M., Friday, April 30, 2004 at the Conference Center, 2nd Floor, 53 State Street, Boston, Massachusetts

Transfer Agent

American Stock Transfer and Trust Company Shareholder Service 40 Wall Street New York, NY 10005 Telephone: (800) 937-5449

Counsel

Friedman and Atherton 53 State Street Boston, Massachusetts

Independent Accountants

PricewaterhouseCoopers, LLP 160 Federal Street Boston, Massachusetts

Form 10-K Report

Shareholders and others wishing a copy of the text of the Company's 2003 Form 10-K (without exhibits) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in Washington, D.C. can receive it without charge by addressing a request to:

Vice President – Finance Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc. 104 Revere Street Canton, Massachusetts 02021







Plymouth Rubber Company, Inc.

104 Revere Street
Canton, Massachusetts

1896

281) 828-0220

COMPANY Plymouthrubber.com

Tho@plymouthrubber.com

QS 9000

registered company